STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



 Reg. No.:
 201341022

 Issue No.:
 3003

 Case No.:
 Hearing Date:

 May 15, 2013
 Macomb 12

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E. Harris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 15, 2013, from, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included **Example 1**. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Eligibility Specialist (ES)

ISSUE

Did the Department properly take action to reduce the Claimant's monthly Food Assistance Program (FAP) allotment?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. There is no DHS-1605, Notice of Case Action in the record addressing the Claimant's hearing request; however, based on the Claimant's hearing request, on April 1, 2012, the Department sent the Claimant a DHS-1605, Notice of Case Action.
- On April 12, 2013, the Claimant returned the hearing request portion of the April 1, 2013 DHS-1605 Notice of Case Action protesting the amount of her FAP benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 through R 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

⊠ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 through R 400.3015.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through R 400.3180.

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001 through R 400.5015.

In this case, the ES present at the hearing was not the ES who prepared the hearing packet. The entire hearing packet is based upon a DHS-1605, Notice of Case Action sent to Claimant on April 12, 2013, regarding the Claimant's FAP and MA cases. That notice indicates that a hearing order has instructed the Department to explain to the Claimant how the deductible in her MA case was determined. The Claimant requested

a hearing on an action documented in a DHS-1605, Notice of Case Action dated April 1, 2013. The ES at the hearing was asked what that action was and she said she did not know, as she did not prepare the hearing packet. The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence in this case, can not even determine what action occurred; however, the Claimant is clearly protesting the determination of her FAP allotment and she testified that she has many expenses that were not included in her budget. As the Department can not even explain to the Administrative Law Judge what the action was that the Claimant was notified of in the April 1, 2013, DHS-1605, Notice of Case Action, the evidence is far from sufficient for the Department to meet its burden of establishing that it acted in accordance with Departmental policy.

The April 12, 2013, DHS-1605, Notice of Case Action in evidence indicates that the Claimant's MA deductible be explained to her as a result of a hearing decision. If the Claimant wishes to protest the action documented in that DHS-1605, Notice of Case Action, she has 90 days from April 12, 2013 to request a hearing on that action.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department indicated did act properly. In the did not act properly when taking action to determine the Claimant's FAP allotment.

Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP K FAP AA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Initiate action to reinstate the Claimant's FAP benefits to what they were before the action described in the April 1, 2013, DHS-1605, Notice of Case Action was effective and afford the Claimant any relevant expenses that she can verify, and
- 2. Initiate action to issue the Claimant any supplement she may thereafter be due.

/s/

Susanne E. Harris Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 5/15/13

Date Mailed: 5/16/13

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SEH/tb

