STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

ı	N	П	다	łΕ	M	Δ	Т	ΓF	R	O	F٠	•
	17			16	IV	_			_	u	г.	

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-4066 2015 February 14, 2013 Macomb County DHS #20
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G.	Fahie	
HEARING DE	CISION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administrated and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request telephone hearing was held on Thursday, Februard Participants on behalf of Claim ant included Participant s on behalf of Departm included , ES.	et for a hearing. Afte ruary 12, 2013, fron the cla imant and h	r due notice, a n Lansing, Michigan. iis daug hter,
ISSUE		
Did the Departm ent properly $\ \square$ deny Claiman for:	t's application 🛚 cl	ose Claimant's case
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS OF	FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he evidence on the whole record, finds as material	e competent, materi fact:	al, and substantial
1. Cla imant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ received	l benefits for:	
 ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). 		ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).
2. On September 10, 2012, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ clos	sed Claimant's case	

due to no eligible children in the home.

 On September 10, 2012, the Department sent ☐ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ☐ denial. ☐ closure. 	
 On October 1, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. 	
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM) Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).	, the
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established purs uant to the Per Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) progeffective October 1, 1996.	-193, ence 3101
☐ The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp program] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, ar implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.10 through Rule 400.3015.	nd is eral enc e
∑ The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the So Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (Code The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independ Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 400.105.	CFR). ence
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .	;
☐ The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial ass is for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Hu Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400. 3151 through 400.3180.	man SDA
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Gra 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parand 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to M 400 14(1) and 1999 AC R 400 5001 through Rule 400 5015	nt of 1996. ts 98

Additionally, the claimant's youngest child graduated from high school and turned 18 years of age. As a result, the claimant is not eligible for MA. The department has met its burden that it correctly closed the clai mant's MA case bec ause his y oungest child turned 18 years of age and graduated from high school.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department					
 □ properly denied Claimant's application □ improperly denied Claimant's application □ improperly closed Claimant's case 					
for:					
DECISION AND ORDER					
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did not act properly. \square did not act properly.					
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.					
/ <u>s/</u> Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services					
Date Signed: February 20, 2013					

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, math ematical error, or other obvious errors in the he aring decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/hj

