STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:								
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-40422 3026 Jackson (38)						
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully	,							
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on behalf of Claimant included human Services (Department) included human Servi								
<u>ISSUE</u>								
Whether the Department properly:								
☐ denied Claimant's application for benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits								
for:								
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?	Child Developme	State Disability Assistance (SDA)? Child Development and Care (CDC)? State Emergency Services (SER)?						
FINDINGS OF FACT								
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:								
1. On the Department:								
 ☐ denied Claimant's application for benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits 								

	under the following program(s):									
	FIP	⊠ FAP			SDA	CD	c 🗆 s	SER.		
2.	On Authoriz	ed Hearin		Departme entative) o		otice to	Claimar	nt (or Clai	mant's	
	☐ denia ☐ closu ☐ reduc	ıre								
3.	On Departm	nent's actio		nant filed	a reque	st for	hearing	concernir	ng the	
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW										
Eligibi	•	al (BEM),		_			`	AM), the E State Eme	_	
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.										
progra impler Regula Agend	nm] is e nented b ations (C cy) admir	stablished by the fed CFR). Th	by the eral regue Depart	Food State I ations coment (form ant to MC)	amp Act ntained in nerly know	of 1977 Title 7 wn as th	7, as a of the ne Fami	ood Stam mended, a Code of F ly Indeper 1999 AC	and is ederal ndence	
Securi The D	ity Act an Departme cy) admir	nd is imple nt of Hur	mented k nan Serv	by Title 42 vices (form	of the Connerly know	de of Fe vn as th	deral Re ne Fami	XIX of the egulations ly Indeper	(CFR). ndence	
				(AMP) is ursuant to				C 1315, a	and is	
The la	aw provid	les that di	sposition	may be n	nade of a	contest	ed case	by stipula	tion or	

agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: Redetermine the Claimant's eligibility for the Food Assistance Program (FAP) as of

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wishes to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING:

- 1. Initiate a determination of the Claimant's eligibility for the Food Assistance Program (FAP) as o
- 2. Provide the Claimant with a Notice of Case Action (DHS-1605) describing the Department's revised eligibility determination.
- 3. Issue the Claimant any retroactive benefits that the Claimant may be eligible to receive, if any.

/s/

Kevin Scully

Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>05/10/2013</u>

Date Mailed: <u>05/10/2013</u>

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

2013-40422/KS

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

KS/kl

