## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MA	ATTER OF:
-----------	-----------

	On March 25, 2013, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the Contain Cont
4. <b>(</b>	On April 8, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial of the application.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
-	partment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Iges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Res 42 l Age thro	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 augh Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program active October 1, 1996.
prog impl Reg Age	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) gram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is lemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal gulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 ough Rule 400.3015.
Sec The Age	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL .105.
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ninistered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
for Serv	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human vices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA gram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule .3180.
and 199 The and	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 0, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 14(1) and 1999 AC. R 400,5001 through Rule 400,5015.

On April 23, 2013, the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) received a signed hearing request withdrawal form from the Claimant which clearly indicated that he continued to disagree with the Department's determination. Therefore, on April 23, 2013, the Administrative Law Judge issued an Order Denying Hearing Request Withdrawal.

In this case, the Claimant testified that he faxed the DHS-38, Verification of Employment form to his previous employer so that his previous employer could verify his stopped employment, but that form was never returned to the Department. The Claimant was unaware that the form was not returned to the Department until he received the DHS-1605, Notice of Case Action informing him that he case would close as the required verification had not been submitted. The DHS-1605, Notice of Case Action was sent to the Claimant on March 25, 2013. The Claimant testified that he received the DHS-1605, two or three days after it had been sent to him and certainly before March 30, 2013. The Claimant testified that he telephoned his worker and informed the Department at that time that he had faxed the form to his previous employer who must have neglected to submit it to the Department. The Claimant stated he was told to just reapply, which is what he did.

The Department worker contested the Claimant's testimony and stated that she was unaware that the previous employer failed to return the form up until the time of the prehearing conference, which occurred on April 17, 2013, 17 days after the Claimant's case had closed. The Administrative Law Judge ask the Department's worker at that point in time if there was some Departmental policy that would prohibit her re-instating the Claimant's FAP case, as it was not the Claimant refusing to cooperate with the Department's request for verification. The Department worker testified that she was unaware of any policy which would have prohibited the Claimant's case being reinstated on April 17, 2013.

The Claimant's testimony that he informed the Department, prior to his case closing, that his previous employer must have neglected to return the DHS-38, Verification Checklist is found to be credible and persuasive, as it is detailed, logical and consistent with his having reapplied for benefits before the pre-hearing conference. Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 210 (2012) p. 12 provides that the Department worker not deny or terminate assistance because an employer or other source refuses to verify income. The Administrative Law Judge therefore concludes that when the Department took action to close the Claimant's case, the Department was not acting in accordance with its policy.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact a	and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons
stated on the record, the Administrative	Law Judge concludes that the Department
properly denied Claimant's application	improperly denied Claimant's application
properly closed Claimant's case	
☐ AMP ☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☐ MA ☐ SDA ☐	CDC.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions

of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's $\square$ AMP $\square$ FIP $\boxtimes$ FAP $\square$ MA $\square$ SDA $\square$ CDC decision is $\square$ AFFIRMED $\boxtimes$ REVERSED.
☑ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
<ol> <li>Initiate action to reinstate the Claimant's FAP case back to April 1, 2013, and</li> <li>Initiate action to issue the Claimant any supplement he may thereafter be due.</li> </ol>
/s/ Susanne F. Harris

Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>5/13/13</u>

Date Mailed: 5/13/13

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

## 201340331/SEH

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## SEH/tb

