STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

| IN THE MATTER OF: | | | |
|--|--|---|--|
| | Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County: | 2013-39811 2006, 3008 Macomb DHS (36) | |
| ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully | | | |
| HEARING DECISION | | | |
| This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on the from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included and the following the first participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included and the first participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included and the first participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included and the first participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included and the first participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included and the first participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included and the first participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included and the first participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included and the first participants on behalf of Department of the first participants of t | | | |
| <u>ISSUE</u> | | | |
| Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly \prod deny Claimant's application \boxtimes close Claimant's case \prod reduce Claimant's benefits for: | | | |
| | • | ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)? | |
| FINDINGS OF FACT | | | |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact: | | | |
| Claimant ☐ applied for ☒ was receiving: [| □FIP ⊠FAP ⊠M | MA □SDA □CDC. | |
| 2. Claimant ⊠ was ☐ was not provided with a | a Verification Che | cklist (DHS-3503). | |
| 3. Claimant was required to submit requested | verification by | | |
| 4. On the Department the Department denied Claimant's application | | | |

| - | reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to submit verification in a timely manner. |
|--|---|
| | the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application. closure of Claimant's case. reduction of Claimant's benefits. |
| 6. (| On, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial. \(\subseteq \text{closure.} \) _ reduction. |
| | CONCLUSIONS OF LAW |
| • | ment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges ty Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT). |
| Respon 42 USC Agency through | Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal asibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, C 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence a) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 at Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program to October 1, 1996. |
| progran implem Regulat Agency | e Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) m] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is ented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal tions (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence of administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 in Rule 400.3015. |
| Security The De | Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social y Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). epartment (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the gram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. |
| for disa as the | State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance abled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180. |
| and XX 1990, a The pro and 99 | Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. Or |

provided the Department with the required information requested by the Department in a timely manner. Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly Closed Claimant's case. denied Claimant's application. reduced Claimant's benefits. **DECISION AND ORDER** The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \boxtimes did act properly. did not act properly. Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record. THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER: /S/ **Kevin Scully** Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services Date Signed: 05/14/2013

Additionally, the Claimant failed to present sufficient evidence to establish that he

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

Date Mailed: 05/14/2013

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at:

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

KS/kl

CC:

