## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

DEFARTMENT OF HO	MAN SERVICES	
IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201339311 5000; 2000; 3000 May 2, 2013 Washtenaw
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E.	Harris	
SETTLEMENT	ORDER	
This matter is before the undersigned Administrated MCL 400.37 following Claimant's requestelephone hearing was held on May 2, 2013, for behalf of Claimant included Park Human Services (Department) included Family 1.	st for a hearing. from Lansing, Michiq rticipants on behalf	After due notice, a gan. Participants on of the Department of
<u>ISSUE</u>		
Whether the Department properly:		
<ul><li>☑ denied Claimant's application for benefits</li><li>☑ determined Claimant's MA deductible for:</li></ul>	☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits	
<ul> <li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?</li> <li>☑ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?</li> <li>☑ Medical Assistance (MA)?</li> <li>☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?</li> </ul>	☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)? ☐ State Emergency Services (SER)?	
FINDINGS OF	FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the evidence on the whole record, finds as material		erial, and substantial
1. On March 20, 2013, the Department:		
<ul> <li>☑ denied Claimant's application for benefits</li> <li>☑ closed Claimant's case for benefits</li> <li>☑ determined that Claimant had a MA d program(s):</li> </ul>		following

 $\square$  FIP  $\boxtimes$  FAP  $\boxtimes$  MA  $\square$  AMP  $\square$  SDA  $\square$  CDC  $\boxtimes$  SER.

	On March 20, 2013, the Department sent notice to Claimant (or Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative) of the:
Ī	<ul><li>✓ denial</li><li>✓ closure</li><li>✓ determination of MA deductible.</li></ul>
	On April 1, 2013, Claimant filed a request for hearing concerning the Department's action.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Eligibilit	ment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges ty Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Manual (ERM).
Respon 42 USC Agency through	Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal asibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, C 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence a) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 and Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program to October 1, 1996.
program impleme Regulat Agency	e Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) m] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is ented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal tions (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence of administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 101 through Rule 400.3015.
Security The De	Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social y Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). epartment of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence y) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 5.
	e Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is stered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
for disa Service: program	State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance abled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human es (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA in pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through 20.3180.

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE
and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of
1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996.
The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98
and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL
400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is established by 2004 PA 344. The SER program is administered pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and by 1999 AC, Rule 400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Department policies are found in the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. After commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: initiate action to re-determine the Claimant's eligibility for MA and SER back to the application date using the income formula appropriate for a person paid twice a month, as opposed to the biweekly income formula; re-determine the Claimant's eligibility for FAP back to the application date and in that process verify whether or not the asset at issue is actually available to the Claimant's FAP group, and if eligible for FAP, initiate action to issue the Claimant any supplements she may thereafter be due.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wishes to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

## THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING:

- Initiate action to re-determine the Claimant's eligibility for MA and SER back to the application date using the income formula appropriate for a person paid twice a month, as opposed to the biweekly income formula; and
- 2. Re-determine the Claimant's eligibility for FAP back to the application date, and
- 3. In that process verify whether or not the asset at issue is actually available to the Claimant's FAP group, and

 If the Department determines that the Claimant's group is eligible for FAP, initiate action to issue the Claimant any supplements she may thereafter be due.

Susanne E. Harris
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 3, 2013

Date Mailed: May 6, 2013

**NOTICE**: The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## SEH/tb

