# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

# IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-39286 3008 May 2, 2013 Macomb County DHS # 20	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie			
HEARING DECISION			
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Thursday, May 2, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant inc luded the c laimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included ES.			
<u>ISSUE</u>			
Due to a failure to comply with the ve rification requirements, did the Department properly ⊠ deny Claimant's application □ close Claimant's case □ reduce Claimant's benefits for:			
		ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)? y Relief (SER)?	
FINDINGS OF FACT			
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon — the competent, material, and substantia I evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:			
1. Cla imant ⊠ applied for ☐ was receiving: ☐ SER.	FIP ⊠FAP □MA	A □SDA □CDC □	
2. Cla imant ⊠ was ☐ was not provided with a Verification Checklist (DHS-3503).			
3. Claimant was required to submit requested verification by March 18, 2013.			
<ul> <li>4. On April 2, 2013, the Department</li> <li>         ☐ denied Claimant's application</li>         ☐ closed Claimant's case  </ul>			

201339286/CGF
reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.
<ul> <li>5. On April 2, 2013, the Department sent notice of the    ☐ denial of Claimant's application. ☐ closure of Claimant's case. ☐ reduction of Claimant's benefits.</li> </ul>
6. On April 5, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ⊠ denial. ☐ closure. ☐ reduction.
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.
☑ The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) program] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal r egulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independenc e Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
☐ The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) progr am which provides financial as sistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Depart ment (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) admini sters the SDA program pursuant to M CL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adult and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
☐ The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is estable ished by 2 004 PA 344. The SER program is administer ed pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and by, 1999 AC, R

# 201339286/CGF

Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).
Additionally, the claimant applied for FAP on March 4, 2013. A Verification Checklist was sent to the claimant on March 7, 2013 with a due date of March 18, 2013. The claimant sent his verification information in an Atty.  envelope. Even though he crossed out Mr. address and put the DHS address on the envelope, the department did not open the envelope. Subsequently, the claimant went in to the local office to see if they received the verifications and described the envelope. The verifications were found and date stamped for March 19, 2013.
According to the department caseworker, income verification and a copy of his new caseworker testified that she did not inform the claimant of with hat information he was missing through a telephone call or letter. In addition, the verifications submitted were not part of the hearing the packet. As a result, the department has not met its burden that it correctly followed policy in denying the claimant's application for not providing the required verification. BAM 105,130, and 220.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department ☐ properly ☐ improperly
<ul><li>☐ closed Claimant's case.</li><li>☐ denied Claimant's application.</li><li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.</li></ul>
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.
Accordingly, the Depar $$ tment's decision is $$ $$ AFFIRMED $$ $\boxtimes$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
☑ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
<ol> <li>Initiate a redetermination of the Claimant's eligibility for FAP retroactive to his application date of March 4, 2013 by sending a verification checklist for the claimant to provide any missing verifications.</li> </ol>
<ol><li>Provide the Claimant with written notification of the Department's revised eligibility determination.</li></ol>

## 201339286/CGF

Issue the Claimant any retroactive benefits she/he may be eligible to receive, if any

/s/

Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 7, 2013

Date Mailed: May 7, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the receipt date of this Dec ision and Orde r. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision.
- typographical errors, math ematical error, or other obvious errors in the he aring decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings

e consideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

### CGF/hi



