STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



201338869
3002, 6019

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on from from Participants on behalf of Claimant included Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Participants on

ISSUE

Whether the Department of Human Serv ices (Department) properly det ermined the Claimant's Food Assis tance Program (FAP) and Child Development and Care (CDC) eligibility?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant is an ongoing Food Assistance Program (FAP) recipient.
- 2. The Claim ant was an ongoing Child Development and Care (CDC) recipient until
- 3. The Claimant receives monthly earned income in the gross monthly amount of \$
- 4. The Claimant receives monthly child support in the gross monthly amount of \$

- 5. The Claim ant receiv es monthly gaming revenue in the gross monthly amount of \$
- 6. The Claimant has a monthly shelter expense of \$
- 7. On **Mathematical**, the Department notified the Claim ant that her Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits would be reduced to \$ per month, and that she would not be eligible for Child Development and Care (CDC) benefits after **Mathematical**, due to excess income.
- 8. The Department received the Claimant's request for a hearing on protesting the reduction of her Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits and closure of her Ch ild Development and Care (CDC) benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Feder al Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Fam ily Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

The Child Development and Car e (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Sec urity Act, the Child Ca re and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Res ponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implement ed by Title 45 of the Code of F ederal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and childr en pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

All earned and unearned income available to the Claimant is countable. Earned income means income received from another person or organization or from self-employment for duties for duties that were performed for r compensation or profit. Unearned income e means all income that is not earned, including but not limited to funds received from the Family Independenc e Program (FIP), State Dis ability Ass istance (SDA), Child Development and Ca re (CDC), Medicaid (MA), Social Security Benefits (RSDI/SSI), Veterans Administration (VA), Unemploy ment Compensation Benef its (UCB), Adu It Medical Pr ogram (AMA), alimony, and child support payments. The amount counted may before than the client actually receives because the gross amount is used prior to any deductions. Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 500.

The Department determines a client's el igibility for program benefits based on the client's act ual income and/or prospective in come. Actual income is income that w as already received. Prospective income is income not yet received but expected. Prospective budgeting is the best estimate of t he client's future income. Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 505.

All income is converted to a standard monthly amount. If the client is paid weekly, the Department multiplies the average weekly amount by 4.3. If the client is paid ever y other week, the Department multiplies the average bi-weekly amount by 2.15. BEM 505.

In this case, the Claimant is an ongoing Food Assistance Program (FAP) recipient as a group of three. The Claim ant was an ongoing Child Development and Care (CDC) when her benefits were closed due to excess income.

The Claimant receives monthly earned inc ome in the gross monthly amount of \$ This was determined by taking t he average gross earnings from t he Claimant's weekly paychecks for a 30 day period in the am ount of \$ and multiplying the average by the conversion factor of 4.3.

The Claimant receives monthly gaming rev enue in the gross monthly amount of \$ This was determined by taking t he gross amount of the bi-annual payment received by the Claimant in the gross amount of \$ and dividing this amount by 6.

The Claimant receives monthly child support in the gross monthly amount of **\$** This was determined by taking the average of actual child support payments received over a three month period as directed by Bridges Eligibility Manuel Item 505. In this cas e, Department records show that the Claim ant received child support payments of **\$** and **\$** and **\$** during the previous three months.

The Claimant has a monthly shelter expense of \$

The Claimant has an adjusted gross income of \$ This was determined by reducing her earned income by the 20% earned income deduction, and the \$ standard deduction from the total sum of all her monthly countable income.

The Claimant is credited with monthly shelter expenses in the amount of \$ which is determined by adding her monthly shelte r expense of \$ to the heat and utility standard expense of \$ The Claimant does not receive the benefit of an excess shelter deduction bec ause her total shelter expense is less than 50% of her adjusted gross income as d irected by De partment of Human Services Bridges E ligibility Manual (BEM) 556.

Therefore, the Claimant's net countable income of **\$** is equal to her adjusted gross income.

A Food Assistance Program (FAP) recipient with a group size of three, and a net income of **Status** is entitled to a monthly Food Assistance Program (FAP) allotment of **Department of Human Services** Reference Table Manuel (RFT) 260 (December 1, 2012), p 15.

Based on the evidence and testimony available during the hearing, the Department has established that it properly determined t he Claimant's Food Assis tance Program (FAP) eligibility.

The Department failed to present test imony or documenta tion showing income countable towards the eligibility determina tion for the Child Development and Car e (CDC) pro gram. The Department failed t o offer written budget s exp laining how the Claimant is not eligible for this program, and failed to present test imony explaining the reasons that Child Development and Care (CDC) b enefits were terminated. Therefore, the Department has failed to present sufficient evidence to establish that the Claimant is not eligible for the Child Development and Care (CDC) program.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, decides that the D epartment acted in accordance with policy in determining the Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) eligibility.

The Department's Food Ass istance Progra m (FAP) eligibility determination is **AFFIRMED**. It **is SO ORDERED**.

The Department has failed to establish t hat it acted in accor dance with policy in determining the Claimant's Child Development and Care (CDC) eligibility.

The Depar tment's Child Development and Ca re (CDC) eligib ility determination is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Initiate a determination of t he CI aimant's eligib ility for the Ch ild Development and Care (CDC) as of
- 2. Provide the Claimant with a Notice of Case Ac tion (DHS-1605) describing the Department's revised eligibility determination.
- 3. Issue the Claimant any retroactive benefits she may be eligible to receive, if any.

<u>/s/</u>

Kevin Scully Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 04/30/2013

Date Mailed: 04/30/2013

<u>NOTICE</u>: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly disc overed evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical errors, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address ot her relevant iss ues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at:

Michigan Administrative hearings Recons ideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

KS/kl

