STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County DHS:	201338448 3008 May 2, 2013 SSPC-WEST
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully		
HEARING DECISION		
•	• •	notice, a ^p articipants on
ISSUE		
· · · ·	iirements, did the ant's case ☐ red	
	Disability Assista Development and	, ,
FINDINGS OF FACT		
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the comp evidence on the whole record, including testimony of with		
1. Cla imant ⊠ applied for ☐ was receiving: ☐FIP	⊠FAP □MA □	SDA □CDC.
2. Cla imant ⊠ was ☐ was not provided with an A and a Notice of Missed Interview (DHS-254).	ppointment Notic	ce (DHS-170)

Claimant was required to submit requested verification by

3.

4.	On the Department:
	☑ denied Claimant's application☐ closed Claimant's case☐ reduced Claimant's benefits
	for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.
5.	On the Department sent notice of the:
	 ☑ denial of Claimant's application. ☐ closure of Claimant's case. ☐ reduction of Claimant's benefits.
6.	On Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the:
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	ortment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges bility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Resp 42 US Agen throu	ne Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal consibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, SC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence cy) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 gh Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program tive October 1, 1996.
progr imple Regu Agen	ne Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ram] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is emented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal plations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence edgy) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 gh Rule 400.3015.
Secu The I	ne Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial rity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
	ne State Disability Assistance (SDA) progr am which provides financial as sistance sabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Depart ment (formerly known

Date Mailed: <u>05/06/2013</u>

as the F amily Independence Agency) admini sters the SDA program pursuant to M CL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adult and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
The proper mailing an d addressing of a letter cr eates a presumption of receipt. That presumption may be rebutted by evidence. Stacey v Sankovich, 19 Mich App 638 (1969); Good v Detroit Automobile Inter-Ins urance Exchange, 67 Mich App 270 (1976). In this case, the Claimant failed to rebut the presumption of receipt.
Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law ☐ Judge concludes that the D epartment ☐ properly ☐ improperly
☐ closed Claimant's case. ☑ denied Claimant's application. ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.
<u>DECISION AND ORDER</u>
DECISION AND ORDER The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did not act properly. ☐ did not act properly.
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \int \int \text{did act properly.} \int \text{did not act properly.} Accordingly, the Depart ment's decision is \int \text{AFFIRMED} \int \text{REVERSED for the}
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \int \int \text{did act properly.} \int \text{did not act properly.} Accordingly, the Depart ment's decision is \int \text{AFFIRMED} \int \text{REVERSED for the}

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the receipt date of this Dec ision and Orde r. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address ot her relevant iss ues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at:

Michigan Administrative hearings Recons ideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

KS/kI

cc: