MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: Reg. No: 2013-38270

Issue No: Case No:

Hearing Date: May 2, 2013

1038

Marquette County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge by authority of MC L 400.9 and MCL 400.37. Claimant's Request for a Hearing was received on March 26, 2013. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Thursday, May 2, 2013. The claimant personally app eared and testified on her own behalf with her boyfriend, The departm ent was represented by FIS and FATH.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department of H uman Servic es (Department) properly san ctioned the Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) case for noncompliance wit h the Jobs, Education, and Training (JET) program?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant was a recipient of FIP benefits, which required her to participate in the PATH program.
- On March 21, 2013, the claimant was being triaged because she failed to complete her job log activity and did not complete her required hours or planned activities. Department Exhibit 11 and 6.
- 3. On March 21, 2013, the claimant was sent a Notice on Noncompliance (DHS-2444) requesting a triage meeting on April 2, 2013. Department Exhibit 12-13.
- 4. The Depar trent conducted a tri age meeting on April 2, 2013 where the determination was made that the clai mant did not have good cause for not complying with the PATH requirements.

- 5. On March 21, 2013, the Department notified the Claimant that it would close the claimant's FIP benefits as of May 1, 2013. Department Exhibit 14-15.
- 6. The Department received t he Claimant's request for a hearing on March 26, 2013, protesting the closing of the claimant's FIP benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, et seq. The Department of Human Services (DHS or Department) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Ai d to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. De partment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Elig ibility Manual (BEM), Refe rence Table Manual (RF T), and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Department policy states that clients must be made aware that public as sistance is limited to 48 months to meet their fam ily's needs and they must take personal responsibility to achieve self-sufficiency. This message, along with information on ways to achieve independence, direct support services, non-compliance penalties, and good cause reasons, is initially shared by DHS when the client applies for cash assistance. The Partnership. Accountability T raining. Hope. (PATH) program requirements, education and training opportunities, and ass essments will be cover ed by PATH when a mandatory PATH participant is referred at application. BEM 229, page 1.

Federal and state laws require each work e ligible individual (WEI) in the FIP group to participate in Partnership. Accountability. Training. Hope. (PATH) or other employmentrelated activity unless temporarily defe rred or engaged in activities participation requirements. These clients must participate in employment and/or selfsufficiency related activities to increas e their employability and obtain employment. velopment Agency, State of Michigan PATH is administer ed by the Workforce De through the Michigan one-stop service c enters. PATH serves employer s and job seekers for employers to have skilled wor kers and job seekers to obtain jobs that provide economic self-sufficiency. PATH case managers us e the One-Stop Management Information System (OSMIS) to record the client s' assigned activities and participation. WEIs not referr ed to PATH will participat e in other activities to overcome barriers so they may eventually be referred to PAT H or other employ ment service provider. DHS must monitor these activities and record the client's participation in the Family Self-Sufficiency Plan (F SSP). A WE I who refuses, without good participate in assigned employment and/or other self-sufficiency related activities is subject to penalties. For more about pena Ities; see BEM 233A. See BEM 230B and BEM 233B for FAP employment requirements. BEM 230A, page 1.

NONCOMPLIANCE WITH EMPLOYMENT AND/OR SELF-SUFFICIENCY-RELATED ACTIVITIES

As a condition of eligibility, all WEIs and non-WEIs must work or engage in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities. Noncompliance of applicant of s, recipients, or member adds means doing any of the following without good cause:

Failing or refusing to:

Appear and participate with PATH or other employment service provider.

Complete a Family Automated Screening Tool (FAST), as assigned as the first step in the Family Self-Sufficiency Plan (FSSP) process.

The specialist should clear any alerts in Bridges relating to rejected PATH referrals and any FAST confirmation information the client has obtained before considering a client noncompliant for FAST completion.

Develop a FSSP.

A FSSP c ompletion appointment with the client must have been schedule d and the client failed to attend before c onsidering a client noncompliant for FSSP completion.

Comply with activities assigned on the FSSP.

Provide legitimate documentation of work participation.

Appear for a scheduled appointment or meeting related to assigned activities.

Participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities.

Participate in required activity.

Accept a job referral.

Complete a job application.

Appear for a job interview (see the exception below).

Stating orally or in writing a definite intent not to comply with program requirements.

Threatening, physically abusing or otherwis e behaving disruptively toward anyone conducting or partici pating in an employ ment and/ or self-sufficiency-related activity.

Refusing employment support services if the refusal prevents participation in an employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activity. BEM 233A, pages 1-2.

The Department is required to send a DHS -2444, Notice of Employment and/or Self Sufficiency Related Noncompliance within three days after learning of the noncompliance which must in clude the date of noncompliance, the reason the client

was determined to be noncompliant, the penalty that will be imposed and the triage date within the negative action period. BEM 233A.

Good cause is a valid reason for nonc ompliance wit h employ ment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant per son. A claim of good c ause must be verified and doc umented for member adds and recipients. If it is determined at triage that the client has good cause, and good cause issues have been resolved, the client should be sent back to JET. BEM 233A.

Good cause should be determined based on the besit information available during the triage and prior to the negative action date. Good cause may be verified by information already on file with DHS or PAT. H. Good cause must be considered even if the client does not attend, with particular attention to possible disabilities (including disabilities that have not been diagnosed or ident ified by the client) and unmet needs for accommodation. BEM 233A.

The penalty for noncomplianc e without good cause is FIP closure. Effective October 1, 2011, the following minimum penalties apply:

NONCOMPLIANCE PENALTIES FOR ACTIVE FIP INDIVIDUALS AND MEMBER ADDS

The penalty for noncompliance without good cause is FIP EDG closure. Effective October 1, 2011, the following minimum penalties apply:

For the individual's fir st occurrence of nonc ompliance, Bridges closes the FIP EDG for not less than three calendar months.

For the individual's second occurrence of noncompliance, Bridges closes the FIP EDG for not less than six calendar months.

For the individual's third occu rrence of noncompliance, Bridges closes the FIP EDG for a lifetime sanction. BEM, Item 233A.

The individual penalty counter begins April 1, 2007. Individual penalties se rved after October 1, 2011 will be added to the individual's existing penalty count.

Noncompliance, without good cause, with employment r equirements for FIP/RAP(SEE BEM 233A) may affect FAP if both progr ams were active on the date of the FIP noncompliance. BEM 233b. The FAP group member should be disqualified for noncompliance when all the following exist:

 The client was active bot h FIP and FAP on the date of the FIP noncompliance, and

- The client did not comply wit h FIP/RAP employment requirements, and
- The client is s ubject to a penalty on the FIP/RA P program, and
- The client is not deferred from FAP work requirements, and
- o The c lient did not have good c ause for the noncompliance. BEM 233B.

The Department should budget the Last FIP grant amount on the FAP budget for the number of months that corresponds with the FIP penalty (either three months for the 1 st noncompliance, 6 months for 2 noncompliance, and a lifetime for the third noncompliance) after the FIP case closes for employment and/or self sufficiency-related noncompliance. The Last FIP grant amount is the grant amount the client received immediately before the FIP case closed. BEM 233B.

The Claimant was an ongoing Family Independenc e Program (FIP) recipient. The Department had referred the claimant to the PATH program as a condition of receiving FIP benefits. The Claimant was no ncompliant with the PAT H program on March 21, 2013 because she failed to complete her job search hours for the week of March 10-16, 2013. The Department conducted a triage meeting on April 2, 2013 and it was determined that the clai mant did not have good cause for noncompliance with the PATH program.

During the hearing, the claimant testified that her daughter ca me to visit her during her spring break and broke her arm on March 12, 2013 at 12:20 (?) where she was seen at Marquette General Hospital Emergency R oom with a follow-up appointment on March 14, 2013 at 8:45 a.m. D epartment Exhibit 7-9. The claimant's daughter is 8 $\frac{1}{2}$ years old with a birth date of October 5, 2004. She was visiting the claimant as a part of a custody arrangement. Department Exhibit 10.

The department stated that the claimant was eligible for daycare for the week that the claimant's daughter was visiting. However, the claimant di d not secure daycare for the week because she wanted to spend time with her daughter. The claim ant testified that she had asked her c aseworker to be exc used for the week t hat her daughter was visiting. There was nothing referenced in the PATH notes and the PATH casework er was not available to testify. The claimant was not excused from PATH for the week that her daughter visited. The claimant could have taken her daughter with her on her job search or to the library while the claimant used the computer.

However, the claimant's daughter did break her arm during her v isit. In addition, she was too young to leav e at home. The claimant did not k now that her daughter would

break her arm during her stay and require her care. In additi on, the claimant provided written verification of broken arm during the contested time period.

Based on the evidence and testimony available during the hearing, the Department's determination that the Claimant did not have good cause for PATH noncompliance with the PATH program is not reasonable. The Department has not established that it acted properly when it closed the Claimant's FIP benefits for noncompliance with the PATH program. This was the claimant's 1st sanction where her FIP benefits would have been cancelled for 3 months, but the sanction is being reversed.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides that the Department did not act in a ccordance with policy when it sanctioned the Claimant's FIP chase for noncompliance with the PAT H program. The claimant's sanctioned is reversed and the claimant's 1st sanction for FIP should be deleted and her benefits restored. The claimant should be re-referred to PATH.

The Department's FIP sanction is **REVERSED**.

Carmen G. Fahie
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura D. Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 14, 2013

Date Mailed: May 15, 2013

<u>NOTICE</u>: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases).

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail to:

Michigan Administrative hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/hj

