STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201338186

Issue No.:

1038

Case No.: Hearing Date:

County:

May 1, 2013 Saginaw

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Gary F. Heisler

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 1, 2013. Claimant appeared and testified.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly sanction Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) for failure to participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) Claimant was an ongoing recipient of Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits. It was mandatory for Claimant to participant in Partnership, Accountability, Training, Hope (PATH).
- (2) On February 5, 2013, Claimant attended a triage meeting. Claimant signed and received an appointment notice that required her to appear at PATH on February 13, 2013 between 2:00 pm and 4:00 pm to turn in her job search and community service participation verifications.
- (3) On February 13, 2013, Claimant did not attend the appointment or submit her participation requirements.
- (4) On February 14, 2013, Claimant was sent a Notice of Non-Compliance (DHS-2444) which scheduled another triage meeting for February 26, 2013. Claimant was also sent a Notice of Case Action (DHS-1605) stating that the Family Independence Program (FIP) would be sanctioned.
- (5) On February 26, 2013, Claimant did not attend the scheduled meeting. The Department determined there was no good cause for Claimant's failure to participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities.
- (6) On March 7, 2013, Claimant submitted a request for hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

Claimant does not dispute that she failed to attend the scheduled meeting on February 13, 2013 or submit verification of her participation requirements. Claimant testified that she did receive the appointment notice with the date and time on it. Claimant testified that she lost the paper and thought the appointment was on February 14, 2013.

Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 233A (2013) pages 4 & 5 define good cause as a valid reason for noncompliance with employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant person. Loosing paperwork and forgetting the date of an appointment is not beyond Claimant's control. Evidence presented at the hearing is not sufficient to establish that Claimant had good cause for failing to attend the February 13, 2013 appointment and turn in her participation verifications.

A more detailed analysis of the evidence presented, applicable Department policies, and reasoning for the decision are contained in the recorded record. During the hearing Claimant was informed of the decision and the reasoning behind the decision.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department properly sanctioned Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) for failure to participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities.

It is ORDERED that the actions of the Department of Human Services, in this matter, are UPHELD.

/s/

Gary F. Heisler Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 5/6/13

Date Mailed: 5/6/13

<u>NOTICE</u>: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of

201338186/GFH

the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
- · misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- · the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-0

GFH/tb

CC:

