STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No Issue No Case No Hearing County [o.: 3052 o.: Date:
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Sc	ully	
HEARING !	DECISION	
This matter is before the undersigned Admin and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's required telephone hearing was held on behalf of Claimant included Human Services (Department) included	uest for a hearing. Afte	
<u>ISS</u>	<u>UE</u>	
Did Claim ant receive an overissuance of entitled to recoup?	program benefits that	the Department is
FINDINGS	OF FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t evidence on the whole record, finds as mate	•	ial, and substantial
During the period of benefits for:	ough	, Claimant received
 ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). 		Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).
2. The Department determined that Claimar CDC overissuance in the amount of		

through

3. The overissuance was due to:
☑ Department error.☐ client error.
4. On the Department sent notice of the overissuance and a repayment agreement to Claimant.
5. On contraction, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's recoupment action.
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.
∑ The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) program] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
☐ The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ia Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105.
☐ The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) progr am, which provides financial ass istance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400. 3151 through Rule 400.3180.
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations. Parts 98

and 99. The Department provides services to adult sand children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, the Claimant testified that she r eported her income to the Department in a timely manner, but w as instructed by her case worker that it was necessary to provide verification of this income.

However, Department policy requires that all earned in come be considered when determining a c lient's eligibility to rece ive Food Ass istance Pr ogram (FAP) benefits. The Department has established that the Claimant's income was not considered and that the Claimant rec eived Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits that she was not entitled to. The Department is obligated to recoup these overissued benefits.

entitled to. The Department is obligated to recoup these overissued benefits.
Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that Claimant:
☑ did receive an overiss uance for ☐ FIP ☑ FAP ☐ MA ☐ SDA ☐ CDC benefits in the amount of \$ that the Department is entitled to recoup.
did not receive the overissuance fo r which the Department presently seek s recoupment.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☑ did act properly. ☐ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Depar tment's decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED f or the reasons stated on the record.
/s/
Kevin Scully Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>04/12/2013</u>

Date Mailed: <u>04/12/2013</u>

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not or der a rehearing or

reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address ot her relevant iss ues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings
Recons ideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

KS/kI

