STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:	201335977
Issue No.:	3008
Case No.:	
Hearing Date:	April 24, 20
County:	Kalamazoo

013

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E. Harris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 24, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Participants on behalf of Department of . Human Services (Department) included Eligibility Specialist (ES) Julie Nass.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly 🛛 deny Claimant's application 🗌 close Claimant's case for:

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?
State Disability Assistance (SDA)?
Child Development and Care (CDC)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant 🖂 applied for benefits 🗍 received benefits for:



Family Independence Program (FIP). Food Assistance Program (FAP). Medical Assistance (MA).

- Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). State Disability Assistance (SDA). Child Development and Care (CDC).
- 2. On March 5, 2013, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case due to her failure to provide the required verification.

- On March 5, 2013, the Department sent
 ☐ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ☐ denial. ☐ closure.
- 4. On March 11, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the \square denial of the application. \square closure of the case.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

∑ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

	The	Adult	Medical	Program	(AMP)	is	established	by	42	USC	1315,	and	is
administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.													

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

<u>Procedural History:</u> The Claimant signed a hearing request withdrawal form, which did not state the reason for her withdrawal. Therefore, on April 10, 2013, the Administrative Law Judge issued an Order Denying Hearing Request Withdrawal. The Claimant was asked why she wanted to withdraw her hearing and she said she filed for another hearing and she assumed she could discuss both issues at her second hearing. The Administrative Law Judge conducted both hearings separately.

In this case, the Department's Exhibit 1 establishes, consistently with the ES's testimony, that the Claimant was sent a DHS-3503, Verification Checklist on February 7, 2013. The Checklist required that the Claimant submit verification of her Savings Account and Christmas Club account by February 19, 2013. The Claimant testified that she did not receive the verification checklist, but did receive the DHS-1605, Notice of Case Action indicating that she was denied. The Claimant confirmed that her address has remained the same at all times relevant to this case. The Claimant submitted the required verification along with her hearing request on March 11, 2013 and the ES approved the Claimant for FAP and prorated the benefit back to March 11, 2013.

The proper mailing and addressing of a letter creates a presumption of receipt. That presumption may be rebutted by evidence. Stacey v Sankovich, 19 Mich App 638 (1969); Good v Detroit Automobile Inter-Insurance Exchange, 67 Mich App 270 (1976). In this case, the evidence is insufficient to rebut the presumption that the Claimant received the DHS-3503, Verification Checklist. This is particularly so when the Claimant has received the DHS-1605, Notice of Case Action and the Notice of Hearing, both of which were mailed to the same address. Bridges Assistance Manual (BAM) 130 (2012) p. 5 provides that verifications are considered to be timely if received by the date they are due. BAM 130 p. 5 instructs Department workers to send a negative action notice when the client indicates refusal to provide a verification, or when the time period given has elapsed and the client has not made a reasonable effort to provide it. In this case, the Administrative Law Judge determines that the time period to submit the verification had lapsed and the Claimant had made no reasonable effort to provide the verification. As such, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department has met its burden of establishing that they were acting in accordance with policy when taking action to deny the Claimant's FAP case for failure to submit the required verification.

The Claimant did submit the required verification within 60 days of her application date. BAM 115 p. 18 provides that, if the Claimant completes the application process after denial, but within 60 days after the application date, the Department's ES is to reregister the application and determine whether to pro-rate benefits according to p. 20 of the policy. BAM 115, p. 20 instructs that if the application becomes 30 days old and the group has not met eligibility requirements, assistance is to begin in the first pay period in which the application meets eligibility requirements. In this case, eligibility requirements were met the on March 11, 2013 when the Claimant submitted verification of her bank accounts. As the Claimant was prorated benefits from March 11, 2013, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department was acting in accordance with its policy when denying the Claimant's application for FAP and then subsequently approving it and prorating benefits beginning March 11, 2013.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department

properly closed Claimant's case

properly denied Claimant's application improperly denied Claimant's application improperly closed Claimant's case

for: \square AMP \square FIP \square FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \bowtie did act properly. did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP K FAP AA SDA CDC decision is \square AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

/s/

Susanne E. Harris Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 4/25/13

Date Mailed: 4/29/13

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing MAY be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the • outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons: •
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision • that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision. •

201335977/SEH

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SEH/tb

