STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

| DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| IN THE MATTER OF: | | | | |
| | Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County: | 201335900 2018 April 24, 2013 Kalamazoo | | |
| ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E. Ha | rris | | | |
| HEARING DECISION | | | | |
| This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on April 24, 2013, from behalf of Claimant included Human Services (Department) included Eligibility (Department) in | for a hearing. m Lansing, Michio ticipants on b <u>eha</u> | After due notice, a | | |
| ISSUE | | | | |
| Did the Department properly \boxtimes deny Claimant's for: | application 🔲 clo | ose Claimant's case | | |
| Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)? | | sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)? | | |
| FINDINGS OF F | <u>ACT</u> | | | |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material fac | | rial, and substantial | | |
| Claimant ⊠ applied for benefits ☐ received be | enefits for: | | | |
| ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA) and ALMB. ☐ | | sistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC). | | |

3. On February 1, 2013, the Department denied the Claimant's application for ALMB because she did not meet the basic criteria for ALMB.

due to her being eligible for the program in another case.

closed Claimant's case

| 4. | On March 4, 2013, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure. |
|------------------------------------|---|
| 5. | On March 8, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ⊠ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. |
| | CONCLUSIONS OF LAW |
| | epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the idges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT). |
| Re 42 Ag thr | The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence gency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 rough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program sective October 1, 1996. |
| pro im Re Ag | The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Jency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 rough Rule 400.3015. |
| Se Th Ag | The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social ecurity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Jency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105. |
| | The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq. |
| for Se pro | The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human ervices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 0.3180. |
| an 19 Th an | The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 90, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 d 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 0.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015. |

| During the hearing, the Claimant testified that she had no issue with her FAP benefits at all and that she was protesting the denial of her MA application. She then said she was protesting the closure of her case, as she has had MA benefits for quite some time, but when she was in she was informed by the hospital that she did not have MA. She does not know when her MA case closed. The ES at the hearing was asked about the history of the case and she could not answer any of the questions the Administrative Law Judge had for her. She testified that she had only been the Claimant's ES worker since February of 2013. When asked, she did not know what other MA program the Claimant is eligible for as the DHS-1605, Notice of Case Action indicated. She also did not know what basic criteria that the Claimant did not meet that resulted in her ineligibility for ALMB. The evidence is insufficient to establish that the Department acted in accordance with its policy when taking action to deny the Claimant's application for MA and indeed, the evidence does not establish that the Claimant's active MA case was ever properly closed. Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons |
|--|
| stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department |
| □ properly denied Claimant's application □ properly closed Claimant's case □ improperly closed Claimant's case □ improperly closed Claimant's case |
| for: |
| <u>DECISION AND ORDER</u> |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly. |
| Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \square FAP \boxtimes MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record. |
| ☑ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER: |
| Initiate action to do an exparte case review of the Claimant's MA case and eligibility for MA back to November 1, 2012, and Initiate action to issue the Claimant any supplements she may thereafter be due. |
| Susanne E. Harris Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services Date Signed: 4/25/13 |
| Date Mailed: 4/29/13 |

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SEH/tb

