STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201335330

Issue No.: 1038

Case No.: Hearing Date:

County DHS:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on behalf of Claimant included and behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Whether the Department of H uman Servic es (Department) properly sanctioned the Claimant's Family I ndependence Program (FIP) case for noncompliance with employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant was an ongoing Fam ily Independence Program (FIP) recipient until
- 2. The Department referred the Claimant to the Partnership Accountability Training Hope (PATH) program as a condition of receiving FIP benefits.
- 3. On appointment scheduled for
- 4. The Claimant's companion lives in his household and requires care due to her mental impairments.

- 5. The Claimant was noncompliant with the PATH program when he failed to attend his PATH appointment or reschedule it by
- 6. The Department conducted a triage meeting on
- 7. On the Department notified the Claimant that it would sanction his FIP benefits as of
- 8. The Department received the Cla imant's request for a hearing on protesting the sanctioning of his FIP benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, et seq. The Department of Human Services (DHS or Department) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Ai d to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. De partment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Elig ibility Manual (BEM), Refe rence Table Manual (RF T), and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Clients must be made aware that public assistance is limited to 48 months to meet their family's needs and they must take personal re—sponsibility to achieve self-sufficiency. This message, along with information on ways—to achieve independence, direct support services, non-compliance penalties, and good cause reasons, is initially shared by DHS when the client applies for ca sh assistance. The Part nership. Accountability. Training. Hope. (PATH) program requirements, educ—ation and trainin—g opportunities, and assessments will be covered by PATH when a mandatory PATH participant is referred at application. Department—of Human Services Bridges—Eligibility Manual (BEM) 229 (January 1, 2013), p 1.

Federal and state laws require each work e ligible individual (WEI) in the FIP group to participate in Partnership. Accountability. Training. Hope. (PATH) or other employmentrelated activity unless temporarily defe rred or engaged in activities participation requirements. T hese clients must participate in employment and/or selfsufficiency related activities to increas e their employability and obtain employment. PATH is administer ed by the Workforce De velopment Agency, State of Michigan through the Michigan one-stop service centers. P ATH serves employers and job seekers for employers to have skilled wor kers and job seekers to obtain jobs that provide economic self-sufficiency. PATH case managers us e the One-Stop Management Information System (OSMIS) to record the clients' assigned activities and participation. Department of Human Services Bridges E ligibility Manual (BEM) 230A (January 1, 2013), p 1.

WEIs not referred to PATH will participate in other ac tivities to overcome barriers so they may eventually be referred to PATH or other employment service provider. DHS

must monitor these activities and record t he client's participation in the Family S elf-Sufficiency Plan (FSSP). BEM 230A, p 1.

A WEI who refuses, without good cause, to participate in assigned employment and/or other self-sufficiency related activities is subject to penalties. BEM 230A, p 1.

Noncompliance of applic ants, recipients, or member adds means doing any of the following without good cause:

- Failing or refusing to:
- Appear and participat e with PATH or other employment service provider.
- Complete a Family Automa ted Screening Tool (FAST), as assigned as the first step in the Sufficiency Plan (FSSP) process.
- Develop a FSSP.
- Comply with activities assigned on the FSSP.
- Provide legitimate documentation of work participation.
- Appear for a scheduled appoint ment or meeting related to assigned activities.
- Participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities.
- Participate in required activity.
- Accept a job referral.
- Complete a job application.
- Appear for a job interview.
- Stating orally or in writing a definite intent not to comply with program requirements.
- Threatening, physically abusing or otherwise behav ing disruptively toward anyone conducting or participating in an employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activity.
- Refusing employment support services if the refusal prevents participation in an employment and/or s elfsufficiency-related activity.

Department of Human Services Bridges Elig ibility Manual (BEM) 233A (January 1, 2013), pp 2-3.

- The Department will follow the procedures outlined below for processing the FIP closure:
- On the night that the one—stop service center case manager places the participant into triage activity, OSMIS will interface to Bridges a noncooperation notice. Bridges will generate a triage appointment at the local office as well as generating the DHS-244 4, Notice of Employment And/Or Self-Sufficiency Related Noncompliance, which is sent to the client. The following information will be populated on the DHS-2444:
 - The name of the noncompliant individual
 - The date of the initial nonc ompliance. (For individuals being served by PATH, this is the date the client was considered to be noncom pliant by the one-stop service center and placed in to the triage activity in OSMIS.)
 - All the dates, if addressing more than one incident of noncompliance.
 - The reason the client was determined to be noncompliant.
 - The penalty that will be imposed.
 - The scheduled triage appoint ment, to be held within the negative action period.
- Determine good cause durin g triage and prior to the negative action effective date. Good cause must be verified and provided prior to the end of the negative action period and can be based on information already on file with the DHS or PATH. Document the good cause determination on the Noncoo peration Detail Screen within 24 hours of determination. BEM 233A, pp 8-9.

Good cause is a v alid reason for noncomp liance with employment and/ or self sufficiency related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant person. A claim of good cause must be verified and documented for member adds and recipients. Document t he good cause determination in Bridges and the FSSP under the Participation and Compliance tab. If it is determined during triage

the client has good cause, and good cause issues have been resolved, send the client back to PATH. There is no need for a new PATH referral. BEM 233A, pp 3-4.

Good cause is a valid reason for nonc ompliance wit h employ ment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant per son. A claim of good c ause must be verified and doc umented for member adds and recipients. If it is determined at triage that the client has good cause, and good cause issues have been resolved, the client should be sent back to JET. BEM 233A.

Good cause should be determined based on the besit information available during the triage and prior to the negative action date. Good cause may be verified by information already on file with DHS or MWA. Good cause must be considered even if the client does not attend, with particular attention to possible disabilities (including disabilities that have not been diagnosed or ident ified by the client) and unmet needs for accommodation. BEM 233A.

Good cause includes the following:

Illness or Injur y: The client has a debi litating illn ess or injury, or a spouse or child's illness or injury requires inhome care by the client.

Noncompliance by a WEI while the application is pending results in group ineligibility. A WEI applicant who refused employment without good cause, within 30 days prior to the date of application or while the application is pending, must have benefits delayed; see Benefit Delay for Refusing Employment in this item. BEM 233A.

The penalty for nonc ompliance without good caus e is FIP EDG clos ure. Effective Oc tober 1, 2011, the following minimum penalties apply:

- For the individual's first occurrence of noncompliance, Bridges closes the FIP ED G for not les s than thr ee calendar months.
- For the individual's second occurrence of noncompliance, Bridges closes the FIP EDG for not less than six calendar months.
- For the individual's third occurrence of noncomplianc e, Bridges closes the FIP EDG for a lifetime s anction. BEM 233A.

In this case, the Claimant was an ongoing Fam ily Independence Program (FIP) recipient until and an another Department had referred him to the PATH program as a condition of receiving FIP benefits. The Claimant was noncompliant with the PATH program when he failed to attend or resche dule an appointment scheduled for

The Department conducted a triage meeting on Claimant was given the opport unity to establish good cause for noncompliance with the PATH program. The Claimant did not attend the triage meeting and the Department did not find good cause. On the Department notified the Claimant that it would sanction his FIP benefits as of

The Claimant argued that he is required to provide in home care for his companion, an adult member of his benefit group. The Claimant provided the Department with a medical opinion that his companion requires in home support and care due to her mental impairments.

The Department's representative testified that policy does not allow for a finding of good cause under these circumstances were the Claimant is required to provide in home care for a group member where that person is not a child or spouse.

Good cause is a v alid reas on for noncom pliance with employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant person. BEM 233A. This policy states, "Good cause in cludes the following:" and lists 12 reasons that good cause can be granted.

This Administrative Law Judge finds that the language "includes the following" indicates that the listing of good cause categories is not limiting, but is to be inclusive where circumstances create a barrier to participation in the PATH program that are beyond the control of the Claimant.

Department policy (BEM 230A) allo ws a client to be deferred from participation in the PATH program to care for persons with a ment—all or physic all illness, limitation, or incapacity. The wording of this policy does not create a distinction between married and unmarried persons. Furthermore, the Department did temporarily defer the Claimant from participation in the PATH program so that the Claimant, who is not mar ried, could care for a mentally limited person.

The language of BEM 233A indi cates that good cause may be granted where a spouse or child's illness or injury requires in-hom e care by the client. The Department's interpretation of his section of policy would excluded unmarried individuals from a finding of good cause when those same circumstance would allow the Department to grant a deferral from PATH participation under BEM 230A.

The policy's language states that good cause can be found where care is required for a spouse or child. Bas ed on the Department's interpretation of policy, good cause could not be granted to care for the unmarried par ent of a clie nt's children liv ing in the Claimant's home, while good cause could be granted where a client cares for any child, related or not.

Extending the Department's interpretation of this section of policy further, a child's illness or injury that requires in-home care by the client mi ght include any child, related

or not, that requires in-home care, whether that care is in the client's home or not since the policy does not specify that the care be provided in the client's home.

This Administrative Law Judge finds that BEM 233A does not prevent the Department from making a finding of good cause where a non-married client is required to provide in-home care for a temporarily incapacitated person.

Based on the evidence and test imony available during the hear ing, this Administrative law Judge finds that the Claimant esta blished that he had good cause for his noncompliance with the PATH program. The Department has failed to establish that it acted properly when it and activities.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides that the Department failed to establish that it properly sanctioned the Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) case for noncompliance with the Partnership. Accountability Training. Hope. (PATH) program.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Delete the negative action from the Claimant's benefits case file.
- 2. Initiate a determination of the Claimant's e ligibility for Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits as of
- 3. Provide the Claimant with a Notice of Case Ac tion (DHS-1605) describing the Department's revised eligibility determination.
- 4. Issue the Claimant any retroactive benefits he may be eligible to receive, if any.

	/s/
Kevin	Scully
	Administrative Law Judge
	for Maura D. Corrigan, Director
	Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>04/25/2013</u>

Date Mailed: <u>04/25/2013</u>

<u>NOTICE</u>: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly disc overed evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address ot her relevant iss ues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings
Recons ideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

KS/kI

