STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

| DEPARTMENT OF HU | JMAN SERVICES | |
|---|--|---|
| IN THE MATTER OF: | | |
| | Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County: | 201334019 2000; 3003 April 9, 2013 Oakland 03 |
| ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E | . Harris | |
| HEARING DI | ECISION | |
| This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's requestelephone hearing was held on April 9, 2013, behalf of Claimant included his Authorized, his and Department of Human Services (Depart Supervisor (APS) | est for a hearing. from Lansing, Michig d Hearing Represer . Partic | After due notice, a gan. Participants on ntative (AHR) ipants on behalf of |
| ISSU | <u>E</u> | |
| Did the Department properly deny Claima for: | nt's application ⊠ cl | ose Claimant's case |
| ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? | | sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)? |
| FINDINGS C | OF FACT | |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based on t evidence on the whole record, finds as material | • | rial, and substantial |
| 1. Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ receive | ed benefits for: | |
| ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). | | ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC). |

2. On April 1, 2013 the Department denied Claimant's application

due to excess assets.

⊠ closed Claimant's MA case

| 3. | On March 1, 2013, the Department |
|-----------------------|---|
| 4. | On February 25, 2013, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closures. |
| 5. | On March 7, 2013, Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the cases. |
| | CONCLUSIONS OF LAW |
| | epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT). |
| Re 42 Ag thr | The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996. |
| pro im Re Ag | The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 ough Rule 400.3015. |
| Se Th Ag | The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105. |
| | The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq. |
| for Se pro | The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human rivices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 0.3180. |
| an 19 | The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 90, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, e program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 |

and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC. R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015. The FAP budget was reviewed and it indicated that the Claimant was entitled to a monthly allotment of \$ which was inconsistent with the DHS-1605, Notice of Case Action which indicated that the Claimant's FAP case had closed. Furthermore, the Claimant's AHR testified that the relied upon were not an accurate reflection of the Claimant's income, as they were from the holidays and the Claimant typically does not make that much money. The re-determination was for February 2013. The Department conceded that there was an error in the case as the budget and DHS-1605, Notice of Case Action was inconsistent the Department could not explain why that was. Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department did not act in accordance with its policy when taking action to close the Claimant's FAP case when the budget indicated that the Claimant was eligible for FAP. Administrative Law Judge therefore concludes that the Department properly denied Claimant's application improperly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case for: \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC. **DECISION AND ORDER** The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly. did not act properly. Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record. ☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER: 1. Initiate action to re-determine the Claimant's eligibility for FAP back to the closure date and using the Claimant's pay check stubs from January of

- 2013. and
- 2. Initiate action to issue the Claimant any supplement that he may thereafter be due.

CONSENT ORDER OF DISMISSAL

The Michigan Administrative Code R 400.903(1) provides as follows:

An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because his claim for assistance is denied or is not acted upon with reasonable promptness, and to any recipient who is aggrieved by an agency action resulting in suspension, reduction, discontinuance, or termination of assistance.

During the hearing, the uncontested testimony was that the Claimant's MA benefits had been restored with no loss of benefit and as such, the Claimant's AHR consented to have that portion of the hearing dismissed. The Department agreed to the dismissal of Claimant's hearing request. Pursuant to MAC R 400.906(1), Claimant's hearing request is hereby DISMISSED.

Based on the above discussion, it is **ORDERED** that the **MA** portion of this matter is **DISMISSED** pursuant to MAC R 400.906(1).

Susanne E. Harris
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Carrigan Director

For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 4/11/13

Date Mailed: 4/12/13

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

201334019/SEH

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SEH/tb

