## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

| DEFARTMENT OF HOMAN CERTICES  |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| IN THE MATTER OF:   |  |  |
|   | Reg. No.: 201333747 Issue No.: 6015 Case No.: Hearing Date: County DHS:  |  |
| ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scul  | ly   |  |
| HEARING DECISION  |  |  |
| This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on behalf of Claimant incl uded Particip ants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included . |  |  |
| ISSU  | E  |  |
| Did the Departm ent properly  deny Claimal for:   | n t's application 🛛 close Claimant's case  |  |
| ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?  | <ul> <li>☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?</li> <li>☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?</li> <li>☑ Child Development and Care (CDC)?</li> </ul> |  |
| FINDINGS OF FACT  |  |  |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based on t evidence on the whole record, finds as material  | he competent, material, and substantial al fact:   |  |
| 1. Cla imant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ receive   | ed benefits for:   |  |
| <ul><li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li><li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li><li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA).</li></ul>  | <ul> <li>☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).</li> <li>☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA).</li> <li>☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).</li> </ul> |  |

, the Department 🛛 closed Claimant's case due to the

2. On

Claimant's failure to cooperate.

| 3.  | On the Department sent $\boxtimes$ Claimant notice of the:   |  |
|---|--|--|
|   | ☐ denial. ☐ closure.   |  |
| 4.  | On Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the:   |  |
|   | ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.  |  |
| CONCLUSIONS OF LAW  |  |  |
| Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).   |  |  |
| an<br>pro   | e Child Development and Car e (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and of the Social Sec urity Act, the Child Ca re and Development Block Grant of 1990, the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The ogram is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and The Department provides services to adults and childr en pursuant to MCL 0.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015. |  |
| Additionally, the Department failed to present evidence or testimony support the closure of Child Development and Care (CDC) benef its. The Department's representative testified that benefits have been restored since notifying the Claimant of the closure, but failed to establish that the gap in benefits received was a proper application of policy. |  |  |
| Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department  |  |  |
|   | properly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case   |  |
| for:  |  |  |
| DECISION AND ORDER  |  |  |
|   | e Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department:  |  |
|   | did act properly. did not act properly.  |  |
|   | cordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC cision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.   |  |

☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Initiate a determination of the Claimant's e ligibility for Child Development and Care (CDC) as of
- 2. Allow the Claimant a ten-day period to provide the Department with verification of any information necessary to determine her eligibility for the Child Development and Care (CDC) program in
- 3. Provide the Claimant with a Notice of Case Acti on (DHS-1605) describing the Department's revised eligibility determination.
- 4. Issue the Claimant any retroactive benefits she may be eligible to receive, if any.

/s/

Kevin Scully
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>04/15/2013</u>

Date Mailed: 04/15/2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical errors, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address ot her relevant iss ues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings
Recons ideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

KS/kI

