STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on behalf of Claimant included participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included participants on behalf of Department of Department of Human Services (Department) included participants on behalf of Department of Depa

ISSUE

Whether the Department of H uman Servic es (Department) properly san ctioned the Claimant's Family I ndependence Program (FIP) case for noncompliance with employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant was an ongoing Fam ily Independence Program (FIP) recipient until
- 2. The Department referred the Claimant to the Partnership Accountability Training Hope (PATH) program as a condition of receiving FIP benefits.
- The Claimant was noncompliant with the PATH program when she failed to attend an appointment scheduled for her appointment by

- 4. On the Departm ent notified the Claimant that it had determined that she had been noncompliant with the JET program for missing a pointment.
- 5. The Department conducted a triage meeting on
- 6. On the Department notified the Claimant that it would sanction her FIP benefits as of
- 7. The Department received the Cla imant's request for a hearing on protesting the sanctioning of her FIP benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, et seq. The Department of Human Services (DHS or Department) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Ai d to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. De partment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Elig ibility Manual (BEM), Refe rence Table Manual (RF T), and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Clients must be made aware that public assistance is limited to 48 months to meet their family's needs and they must take personal re—sponsibility to achieve self-sufficiency. This message, along with information on ways—to achieve independence, direct support services, non-compliance penalties, and good cause reasons, is initially shared by DHS when the client applies for ca sh assistance. The Partnersh ip. Accountability Training. Hope. (PATH) program requirements, educ—ation and trainin—g opportunities, and assessments will be covered by PATH when a mandatory PATH participant is referred at application. Department—of Human Services Bridges—Eligibility Manual (BEM) 229 (January 1, 2013), p 1.

Federal and state laws require each work e liqible individual (WEI) in the FIP group to participate in Partnership. Accountability. Training. Hope. (PATH) or other employmentrelated activity unless temporarily defe rred or engaged in activities that meet participation requirements. T hese clients must participate in employment and/or selfsufficiency related activities to increas e their employability and obtain employment. Development Agency, State of Michigan PATH is administer ed by the Workforce through the Michigan one-stop service centers. P ATH serves employers and job seekers for employers to have skilled wor kers and job seekers to obtain jobs that provide economic self-sufficiency. PATH case managers us e the One-Stop Management Information System (OSMIS) to record the client s' assigned activities and participation. Department of Human Services Bridges E liqibility Manual (BEM) 230A (January 1, 2013), p 1.

201332982/KS

WEIs not referred to PATH will participate in other ac tivities to overcome barriers so they may eventually be referred to PATH or other employment service provider. DHS must monitor these activities and record the client's participation in the Family Self-Sufficiency Plan (FSSP). BEM 230A, p 1.

A WEI who refuses, without good cause, to participate in assigned employment and/or other self-sufficiency related activities is subject to penalties. BEM 230A, p 1.

Noncompliance of applic ants, recipients, or member adds means doing any of the following without good cause:

- Failing or refusing to:
- Appear and participat e with PAT H or other employment service provider.
- Complete a Family Automated Screening T ool (FAST), as assigned as the first step in the Family Self-Sufficiency Plan (FSSP) process.
- Develop a FSSP.
- Comply with activities assigned on the FSSP.
- Provide legitimate documentation of work participation.
- Appear for a scheduled appointment or meeting related to assigned activities.
- Participate in employm ent and/or self-sufficiencyrelated activities.
- Participate in required activity.
- Accept a job referral.
- Complete a job application.
- Appear for a job interview.
- Stating or in writing a definite intent not to comply with program requirements.
- Threatening, physic ally abus ing or otherwise behaving disruptively toward anyone conducting or

- participating in an employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activity.
- Refusing employment support s ervices if t he refusal prevents participation in an employment and/or selfsufficiency-related activity.
- Department of Human Serv ices Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 233A (January 1, 2013), pp 2-3.

The Dep artment will follow the procedures outlined below for processin g the FIP closure:

- On the night that the onemanager places the participant into triage activity, OSMIS will interface to Bridges a nonc ooperation notice. Bridges will generat e a triage appointment at the local office as well as generating the DHS-2444, Notice of Employ ment And/Or Self-Sufficienc y Related Noncompliance, which is sent to the client. The following information will be populated on the DHS-2444:
- The name of the noncompliant individual
- The date of the initial nonc ompliance. (For individuals being served by PATH, this is the date the client was considered to be noncom pliant by the one-stop service center and placed in to the triage activity in OSMIS.)
- All the dates, if addressing more than one incident of noncompliance.
- The reason the client was determined to be noncompliant.
- The penalty that will be imposed.
- The scheduled triage appoint ment, to be held within the negative action period.
- Determine good caus e during triage and pr ior to the negative action effective date. Good cause must be verified and provided prior to the end of the negativ e action period and can be based on information already on file with the DHS or PATH. Doc ument the good c ause determination on the Noncooperation Detail Screen within 24 hours of determination. BEM 233A, pp 8-9.

Good cause is a v alid reason for noncomp liance with employment and/ or self sufficiency related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant person. A cl aim of good cause must be verified and documented for member adds and recipients. Document t he good cause determinat ion in Bridges and the FSSP under the Participation and Compliance tab. If it is determined during triage the client has good cause, and good cause issues have been resolved, send the client back to PATH. There is no need for a new PATH referral. BEM 233A, pp 3-4.

Good cause is a valid reason for nonc ompliance wit h employ ment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant person. A claim of good cause must be verified and documented for member adds and recipients. If it is determined at triage that the client has good cause, and good cause issues have been resolved, the client should be sent back to JET. BEM 233A.

Good cause should be determined based on the besit information available during the triage and prior to the negative action date. Good cause may be verified by information already on file with DHS or MWA. Good cause must be considered even if the client does not attend, with particular attention to possible disabilities (including disabilities that have not been diagnosed or ident ified by the client) and unmet needs for accommodation. BEM 233A.

Good cause includes the following:

Illness or Injury: The client has a debilitating illness or injury, or a spouse or child's illness or injury requires in-home care by the client. BEM 233A.

Unplanned Event or Factor: Credible information indicates an unplanned event or factor which lik ely prevents or significantly interferes wit h employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities. Unplann ed events or factors include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Domestic violence.
- Health or safety risk.
- o Religio n.
- o Homelessness.
- o Jail.
- Hospitalization. BEM 233A.

Noncompliance by a WEI while the application is pending results in group ineligibility. A WEI applicant who refused employment without good cause, within 30 days prior to the date of application or while the application is pending, must have benefits delayed; see Benefit Delay for Refusing Employment in this item. BEM 233A.

The penalty for noncompliance without good cause is FIP EDG closure. Effective October 1, 2011, the following minimum penalties apply:

- For the individual's first occurrence of noncompliance,
 Bridges c loses the F IP EDG for not less than three calendar months.
- For the individual's second occurrence of noncompliance, Bridges clos es the FIP EDG for not less than six calendar months.
- For the individual's thir d occurrence of noncompliance, Bridges closes the FIP EDG for a lifetime sanction. BEM 233A.

meanine cancalein. Dain 2007 ii
In this case, the Claimant was an ongoing Fam ily Independence Program (FIP recipient until and the Department had referred her to the PATH program as a condition of receiving FIP benefits. The Claimant was noncompliant with the PATH program when she failed to attend an appointment scheduled for reschedule her appointment by Department notified the Clai mant that it had dete rmined that she had been noncompliant with the PATH program for missing a discuss her performance in the PATH program. The Depart ment conducted a triage meeting on where the Claimant was given the opportunity to establish good cause for noncompliance with the PATH program. The Claimant was late for the triage meeting but was allowed to present her argument in favor of good cause. The Department did not find good cause. On the Claimant that it would sanction her FIP benefits as of
The Claim ant argued that she had good c ause for her noncompliance with the PAT H program. The Claimant argued that she received insufficient notice of how she was noncompliant with the PAT H program to effectively establish good cause at the triage meeting. The Claimant testified that she was over seven months pregnant at the time of her alleged noncompliance, and that the burdens of pregnancy were a barrier to the completion of her PATH assignment.

The Claimant presented eviden ce that she sought medica I treatment for a fall on but failed to present evidence of illness or injury that was a barrier to her attendance at the appointment.

This Administrative Law Judge finds that the Department provi ded the Claimant with sufficient notice that the Claimant should have known that she was expected to explain her noncompliance with the PATH program to the Department at her triage meeting held on

This Administrative Law Judge finds that although the Noncompliance (DHS-2444) does not specifically notify the Claimant that she was

noncompliant on discrepancy to be harmless error.

This Administrative Law Judge finds that the Claimant failed to establish good cause for her noncompliance with the PATH program.

Based on the evidence and testimony available during the hearing, the Department's determination that the Claimant did not have good cause for her noncompliance with the PATH program is reasonable. The Department has established that it acted properly when it sanctioned the Claimant's FIP benefit s for noncompliance with self-sufficiency related activities.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides that the D epartment acted in accordance with policy when it sanctioned the Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) case for noncompliance with the Partnership. Accountability Training. Hope. (PATH) program.

The Department's FIP sanction is **AFFIRMED**. It is **SO ORDERED**.

Kevin Scully
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura D. Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 04/12/2013

Date Mailed: 04/12/2013

<u>NOTICE</u>: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

201332982/KS

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing MAY be granted if there is newly disc overed evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address ot her relevant iss ues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings
Recons ideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

KS/kl

CC: