STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

Reg. No.: 2013-32914

IN THE MATTER OF:

4. On December 5, 2012, the Department

	Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2006; 3008 April 3, 2013 Kent County DHS	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie			
HEARING DECISION			
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on W ednesday, April 3, 2013, fr om Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Cla imant included the claimant and her attorney, P# 46369. Part icipants on behalf of Department ent of Human Services (Department) included APS.			
<u>ISSUE</u>			
Due to a failure to comply with the ve rification requirements, did the Department properly ☐ deny Claimant's application ☒ close Claimant's case ☐ reduce Claimant's benefits for:			
	_	ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)? r Relief (SER)?	
FINDINGS OF FACT			
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon — the competent, material, and substantia I evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:			
1. Cla imant ☐ applied for ☑ was receiving: ☐l SER.	FIP SFAP SMA	N □SDA □CDC □	
2. Cla imant ⊠ was ☐ was not provided with a Verification Checklist (DHS-3503).			
3. Claimant was required to submit requested verification by November 29, 2012.			

201332914/CGF denied Claimant's application Closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to submit verification in a timely manner. 5. On December 5, 2012, the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application. \boxtimes closure of Claimant's case. reduction of Claimant's benefits. 6. On February 6, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial. ⊠ closure. reduction. **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW** Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT). The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. The Food Assistance e Program (FAP) [for merly known as the Food Stamp (FS)] program] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015. ☐ The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial as sistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Depart ment (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) admini sters the SDA program pursuant to M CL 400.10, et seg., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180. The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establishhed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides servicies to adult a and children pursuant to MCL

400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

201332914/CGF	
☐ The State Emergency Relief (SER) prog SER program is administer ed pursuant to M 400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Depart Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).	
Additionally, the claimant failed to turn in months of band statements for busines and pand verification of tuition paid in ord resulted in her/his case being denied/close benefits.	personal accounts, cancelled tuition checks er to verify eligibility by the due date, which
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and stated on the record, the Administrative Law ☐ properly ☐ improperly	
☐ closed Claimant's case.☐ denied Claimant's application.☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.	
DECISION A	ND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon to f Law, and for the reasons stated on the rec	cord, finds that the Department
Accordingly, the Depar tment's decision is reasons stated on the record.	☐ AFFIRMED ☐ REVERSED for the
Data Cignada April 12, 2012	/s/ Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services
Date Signed: April 12, 2013	

Date Mailed: April 12, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the receipt date of this Dec ision and Orde r. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- · misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, math ematical error, or other obvious errors in the he aring decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request

e consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/hj

