STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

## IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:	201
Issue No.:	301
Case No.:	
Hearing Date:	Apr
County:	Wa

30**1**5

3-32873

April 2, 2013 Wayne County DHS #19

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Tuesday , Ap ril 2, 2013 from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claiman t included the claima nt and her son, interpreter, and authorized representative, telephone telephone hearing. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included telephone telephone telephone hearing telephone hearing was held on Tuesday authorized representative, telephone telephone telephone telephone hearing telephone hearing telephone hearing was held on Tuesday and the claima nt and her son, interpreter, and authorized representative, telephone telephone telephone telephone telephone telephone hearing telephone telephone telephone hearing was held on Tuesday and the claima nt and her son, interpreter, and authorized representative, telephone telepho

## ISSUE

Due to excess income, did the Department properly deny the Claimant's applic ation close Claimant's case income claimant's benefits for:

Family Independence Program (FIP)?	Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?
Food Assistance Program (FAP)?	State Disability Assistance (SDA)?
Medical Assistance (MA)?	Child Development and Care (CDC)?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Cla imant applied for benefits for: received benefits for:



Family Independence Program (FIP). Food Assistance Program (FAP).

Medical Assistance (MA).

- Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).
  - State Disability Assistance (SDA).
- Child Development and Care (CDC).

- On February 25, 2013, the Department denied Claimant's application
   closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits due to excess income.
- On February 25, 2013, the Department sent
   □ Claimant □ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)
   □ notice of the □ denial. □ closure. □ reduction.
- 4. On March 1, 2013, Claim ant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the

denial of the application.	closure of the case.	$\boxtimes$ reduction of benefits.
----------------------------	----------------------	------------------------------------

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*.

☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-3131. FI P replaced the Aid to Depe ndent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [for merly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400. 10, *et seq*., and 1997 AACS R 400.3001-3015.

The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) progr am, which provides financial ass istance for disabled persons, is establis hed by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) admini sters the SDA program pursuant to M CL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98

and 99. T he Department provides servic es to adult s and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

Additionally, the claimant was a recipi ent of FAP benefits. Subsequently, the department decreased the claim ant's FAP benefits, which res ulted in the claimant requesting a hearing. At the hearing, the department did not meet their burden in that there was not a notic e of case action, budget, verification of in come in the hearing file. The department was not prepared to move forward in the time alloted for the hearing.

As a result of the hearing, the claimant's son was m ade her authorized representative so that any mail that the claimant receives t hat her son would a lso get a copy to assist her. The c laimant's son and the department ca seworker also agreed to communicate through emails and faxes s ince talking on the phone is difficult with the language and accents on both parti es. The claimant will have to still do a telephone interview a t redetermination.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative La income, the Department income properly improperly improperly

denied Claimant's application

reduced Claimant's benefits

closed Claimant's case

for:  $\square$  AMP  $\square$  FIP  $\square$  FAP  $\square$  MA  $\square$  SDA  $\square$  CDC.

# DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department i did act properly idd not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's  $\square$  AMP  $\square$  FIP  $\boxtimes$  FAP  $\square$  MA  $\square$  SDA  $\square$  CDC decision is  $\square$  AFFIRMED  $\boxtimes$  REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Initiate a redetermination of the Claimant's eligibility for FAP from the February 25, 2013 notice of case action.
- 2. Provide the Claimant and her authorized representative with written notification of the Department's revised eligibility determination.

3. Issue the Claimant any retroactive benefits she/he may be eligible to receive, if any.

/s/

Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 12, 2013

Date Mailed: April 12, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that
  effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/hj	
--------	--

CC:			
		I	