STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE **DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201332728 3055 June 18, 2013 Wayne
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully	у	
HEARING DECISION FOR INTENTION	ONAL PROGRAM V	IOLATION
This matter is before the undersigned Administ and MCL 400.37 upon the Department of Humhearing. After due notice, a telephone hear Lansing, Michigan. The Department was represented the Lansing of the	nan Services' (Depa ring was held on J	rtment) request for a une 18, 2013, from
☐ Participants on behalf of Respondent include	ded:	
Respondent did not appear at the hearing a pursuant to 7 CFR 273.16(e), Mich Admin Cod 400.3187(5).	le R 400.3130(5), or	
Did Respondent receive an overissuance (Control of the Control of the Contro	OI) of	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP) ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA) ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)	☐ Food Assistance☐ Child Developme	Program (FAP) ent and Care (CDC)
benefits that the Department is entitled to re	ecoup?	

3. Should Respondent be disqualified from receiving

2. Did Respondent commit an Intentional Program Violation (IPV)?

Family Independence Program (FIP) State Disability Assistance (SDA) Medical Assistance (MA)?

☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)

Child Development and Care (CDC)

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

The Department's OIG filed a hearing request on February 22, 2013, to establish an OI of benefits received by Respondent as a result of Respondent having allegedly committed an IPV.

	inted an it v.
1.	The OIG \boxtimes has \square has not requested that Respondent be disqualified from receiving program benefits.
2.	Respondent was a recipient of \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \square SDA \square CDC \square MA benefits during the period of January 1, 2011, through January 31, 2012.
3.	The Department's OIG indicates that the time period they are considering the fraud period is January 1, 2011, through January 31, 2012.
4.	During the alleged fraud period, Respondent was issued in ☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☐ SDA ☐ CDC ☐ MA benefits from the State of Michigan.
5.	The Department \square has \boxtimes has not established that Respondent committed an IPV.
6.	A notice of disqualification hearing was mailed to Respondent at the last known address and \square was \boxtimes was not returned by the US Post Office as undeliverable.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	rtment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the es Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
☐ Th Respo 42 US Agend throug	rtment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the

201332728/KS

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or Department) administers the MA program oursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Reference Table Manual (RFT), and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

When a client group receives more benefits than they are entitled to receive, the Department must attempt to recoup the OI. BAM 700.

Suspected IPV means an OI exists for which all three of the following conditions exist:

- The client intentionally failed to report information or intentionally gave incomplete or inaccurate information needed to make a correct benefit determination, and
- The client was clearly and correctly instructed regarding his or her reporting responsibilities, and
- The client has no apparent physical or mental impairment that limits his or her understanding or ability to fulfill their reporting responsibilities.

IPV is suspected when there is clear and convincing evidence that the client has intentionally withheld or misrepresented information for the purpose of establishing, maintaining, increasing or preventing reduction of program benefits or eligibility. BAM 720.

The Department's OIG requests IPV hearings for cases when:

benefit overissuances are not forwarded to the prosecutor,

- prosecution of welfare fraud is declined by the prosecutor for a reason other than lack of evidence, and
- the total overissuance amount is \$1000 or more, or
- the total overissuance amount is less than \$1000, and
 - the group has a previous intentional program violation, or
 - the alleged IPV involves FAP trafficking, or
 - the alleged fraud involves concurrent receipt of assistance,
 - the alleged fraud is committed by a state/government employee.

A court or hearing decision that finds a client committed an IPV disqualifies that client from receiving program benefits. A disqualified recipient remains a member of an active group as long as he lives with them. Other eligible group members may continue to receive benefits. BAM 720.

Clients who commit an IPV are disqualified for a standard disqualification period except when a court orders a different period. Clients are disqualified for periods of one year for the first IPV, two years for the second IPV, lifetime disqualification for the third IPV, and ten years for a concurrent receipt of benefits. BAM 720.

The Department has the burden of establishing by clear and convincing evidence that the Claimant committed an Intentional Program Violation (IPV) of the Food Assistance Program (FAP). The clear and convincing evidence standard, which is the most demanding standard applied in civil cases, is established where there is evidence so clear, direct and weighty and convincing that a conclusion can be drawn without hesitancy of the truth of the precise facts in issue. Smith v Anonymous Joint Enterprise, 487 Mich 102; 793 NW2d 533 (2010), reh den 488 Mich 860; 793 NW2d 559 (2010).

In this case, the Department failed to present sufficient evidence that the Respondent was aware of, or should have been aware of the requirements of the Food Assistance Program (FAP). The Department failed to present evidence that the Respondent agreed to report any change of residency, or refrain from submitting an application for food assistance from another state. The Department failed to establish that the Respondent had no apparent mental or physical impairment that would limit his understanding or ability to comply with the requirements of the Food Assistance Program (FAP).

In conclusion, this Administrative Law Judge finds that the Department has failed to establish an Intentional Program Violation (IPV) of the Food Assistance Program (FAP).

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, concludes that:

☑ The Department is ORDERED to delete the OI and cease any recoupment action.

<u>/s/</u>

Kevin Scully

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 07/01/2013

Date Mailed: <u>07/01/2013</u>

NOTICE: The law provides that within 30 days of receipt of the above Decision and Order, the Respondent may appeal it to the circuit court for the county in which he/she lives.

KS/pw

CC:

