STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No: 2013-32340

Issue No: 2021

Case No: Hearing Date: April 23, 2013 Macomb County DHS #12



ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Landis Y. Lain

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notic e, a telephone hearing was held on April 23, 2013. Claimant is in Long Term Care. Claimant was represented at the hearing by his Authorized Hearings Representative, and and a law a

ISSUE

Did the Department of Human Services (the department) properly deny claimant's application based upon the fact that they determined that claimant had excess asset s for purposes of receipt of Medical Assistance Benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) On January 18, 2012, claimant filed an application for Medical As sistance benefits.
- (2) On January 20, 2013 claimant passed away.
- (3) Claimant had assets at the time of his application in the amount of and was eligible for a Spousal Protected Resource Amount of leaving him wit ha total countable re source amount of
- (4) On February 8, 2013, the department caseworker sent claimant's representative notice that his application was denied base d upon possession of excess assets.

(5) On February 26, 2013, claimant 's Authoriz ed Hearin gs Repres entative filed a request for a hearing to contest the department's negative action.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901-400.951. An oppor tunity for a hearing shall be granted to an ap plicant who requests a hearing because his or her clai m for assistance has been denied. MAC R 400.903(1). Clients h ave the right to contes t a department decision affecting elig ibility or benefit levels whenev er it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The department will provide an adm inistrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. BAM 600.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administ rative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibili ty Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

In the instant case, the department casework er conceded on the record that he used the incorrect bank statement in calculating claimant's assets and agreed to recalculate the assets with appropriate information.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides that department has conceded on the record that the claim ant's asset amount should be recalculated to determine claim ant's eligibility or lack thereof for Medical Assistance benefits.

Accordingly, the department's decision is **REVERSED**. The department is ORDERED to reinstate claimants January 18, 2012 Medical Ass istance application and r ecalculate claimant's assets for purposes of Medical Assistance Eligibility. The department shall notify claimant's Authorized Hear ings Representative of his elig ibility or lack thereof in writing.

Landis

Y. Lain

Administrative Law Judge
for Maura D. Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 29, 2013 Date Mailed: April 30, 2013 **NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

LYL/las

