## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

## IN THE MATTER OF:

|  | Reg. No.:<br>Issue No.:<br>Case No.:<br>Hearing Date:<br>County: | 2013-32221<br>2026;2014; 3015<br>March 28, 2013<br>Kent County DHS |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fa   | ıhie   |  |  |
| HEARING DECI   | SION   |  |  |
| Participants on behalf of Claimant included the cla  | or a hearing. Afte<br>ch 28, 2013 from L<br>aimant and the cla   | r due notice, a<br>.ansing, Michigan.                              |  |
| ISSUE  |  |  |  |
| Due to excess income, did the Department properly ☐ deny the Claimant's applic ation ☑ close Claimant's case ☑ reduce Claimant's benefits for: |  |  |  |
| ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐   | _  | sistance (AMP)?<br>ssistance (SDA)?<br>nt and Care (CDC)?          |  |
| FINDINGS OF F  | ACT  |  |  |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he evidence on the whole record, finds as material fa   | competent, materia   | al, and substantial  |  |
| 1. Cla imant ☐ applied for benefits for: ☒ re  | eceived benefits fo  | r:   |  |
| ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐   | =  | ssistance (AMP).<br>Assistance (SDA).<br>ent and Care (CDC).       |  |

| 2.                    | On February 20, 2013, the Department  denied Claimant's application  closed Claimant's case  reduced Claimant's benefits due to excess income.   |
|-----------------------|--|
| 3.                    | On February 20, 2013, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. Closure. reduction.   |
| 4.                    | On March 1, 2013, Claim ant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the  |
|                       | denial of the application. Solution closure of the case. In reduction of benefits.   |
|                       | CONCLUSIONS OF LAW   |
|                       | epartment policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the idges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).   |
|                       | The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .   |
| 42<br>42<br>43<br>31  | The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence gency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-31. FI P replace detection to Depe ndent Children (ADC) program effective ctober 1, 1996. |
| pro<br>im<br>Re<br>Ag | The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence gency) administers FAP pur suant to MCL 400. 10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 0.3001-3015.                  |
| Se                    | The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.  |
| for<br>as             | The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to M CL 0.10, et seq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.  |
| an<br>19              | The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establishhed by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 90, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, are program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98   |

| and 99. The Department provides servicies to adult sand children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.  |
|--|
| Additionally, the claimant's husband moved back into the household, which required his unearned income from Social Security to be counted. Department Exhibit 4. The claimant receives Social Security RSDI income of \$ and her husband also receives RSDI income of \$ Department 11-16.   |
| As a result of exces s income, the claim ant had a decrease in F AP benefits. After deductions from her gross income of \$ of \$ standard deduction for an adjusted gross income of \$ of \$ standard deduction of \$ of \$ standard deduction of \$ of \$ of \$ standard deduction of \$ of   |
| The department caseworker determined eligib ility for MA where the claimant had excess income for MA AD-Care, where the income limit was \$ but the claimant had a net income of \$ for a group size of 2, which resulted in her being denied for MA AD-Care. Department Exhibit C.  |
| As a result of her excess inco me for MA AD-Care, the claimant was determined eligible for a MA Spenddown/Deductible case. The claimant had RSDI income from Social Security of After deductions of a unearned income general exclusion and a protected income of the claimant had a deductible of that they must meet before being eligible for MA. Department Exhibit B. |
| The department has met its burden. The claim ant had excess income for MA AD-Care, which resulted in the c laimant being eligible for MA with a ded uctible of \$ that they must meet before being eligible for MA. In addition, the claimant had a reduction in her FAP benefits as a result of excess income to \$ per month.  |
| Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative La  |
| <ul> <li>☐ denied Claimant's application</li> <li>☑ reduced Claimant's benefits</li> <li>☑ closed Claimant's case</li> </ul>   |
| for: AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC.   |

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

Date Signed: April 5, 2013

Date Mailed: April 5, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- · misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## CGF/hj

