## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201332192 3008 March 28, 2013 Macomb 20
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne	E. Harris	
HEARING D	ECISION	
This matter is before the undersigned Admini and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's requtelephone hearing was held on March 28, 20 on behalf of Claimant included Department of Human Services (Department (APW)	uest for a hearing. 013, from Lansing, Mi Partici	After due notice, a chigan. Participants pants on behalf of
ISSL	<u>JE</u>	
Did the Department properly  deny Claims for:	ant's application 🛛 c	ose Claimant's case
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		sistance (AMP)? assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS (	OF FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on evidence on the whole record, finds as materi	•	rial, and substantial
Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ receive	ed benefits for:	
<ul><li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li><li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li><li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA).</li></ul>	State Disability	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).

	On March 1, 2013, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case due to submitting her verification of stopped income untimely.
	On January 28, 2013, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.
	On February 26, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. The Claimant also submitted verification of stopped income with her hearing request.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	partment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Res 42 Age	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro imp Reg Age	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) gram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal gulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 bugh Rule 400.3015.
Sec The Age	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
for Sei pro	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human rvices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA gram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 0.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
The uncontested facts in this case are that the Claimant submitted verification of her on February 26, 2013, along with her hearing request. Her case closed on March 1, 2013 as the Department worker testified that her verification was due by January 28, 2013. The APW confirmed that the Claimant was asked to verify the as part of a redetermination. When asked, the APW could not answer what the Claimant's benefit period was or whether or not the redetermination was an early one. Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 210 (2012) p. 2, indicates that FAP benefits stop at the end of a benefit period unless a redetermination is completed and a new benefit period is certified. If the Claimant's benefit period ended on March 1, 2013, then the Department improperly closed the Claimant's case because the submission of her verification of on February 26, 2013 would have completed the redetermination process.
Furthermore, BAM 210 pp. 6, 7, indicates that redeterminations of active programs may be scheduled up to three months before the review date and that when a redetermination is scheduled early, FAP benefits cannot be terminated before the end of the benefit period for failure to complete the redetermination process. BAM 210 p. 12, instructs that verifications must be provided by the end of the current benefit period or within 10 days after they are requested, whichever allows more time. Lastly, BAM 210 p. 14, indicates that a Claimant's FAP group loses their right to uninterrupted FAP benefits if they fail to submit verifications timely, provided the requested submittal date is after the timely filing date. However, the remedy for this is not case closure, but rather a delay in processing the redetermination. If there is no refusal to cooperate and the group complies by the 30 <sup>th</sup> day, benefits are to be issued within 30 days.
Clearly, it is critical to the analysis that the Administrative Law Judge knows the benefit period and whether or not the redetermination is an early one. As the Department could not answer these questions during the hearing, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the evidence is insufficient to establish that the Department was acting in accordance with its policy when taking action to close the Claimant's FAP case.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's $\square$ AMP $\square$ FIP $\boxtimes$ FAP $\square$ MA $\square$ SDA $\square$ CDC decision is $\square$ AFFIRMED $\boxtimes$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
oxtimes THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OI THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Initiate action to reinstate the Claimant's FAP case back to the date of closure, and
- 2. Initiate action to redetermine the Claimant's eligibility for FAP using the verification submitted, and
- 3. Initiate action to issue the Claimant any supplements that she may thereafter be due.

<u>/s/</u>

Susanne E. Harris Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 3/29/13

Date Mailed: 4/1/13

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

## 201332192/SEH

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## SEH/tb

