STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201332105 2000; 3000 March 27, 2013 Genesee 06
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E. I	Harris	
SETTLEMENT	ORDER	
This matter is before the undersigned Administrated and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on March 27, 2013 on behalf of Claimant included Participants on behalf of the Department of Eligibility Specialist (ES)	st for a hearing. 3, from Lansing, Mid and his	After due notice, a chigan. Participants
ISSUE		
Whether the Department properly:		
☑ denied Claimant's application for benefits☑ reduced Claimant's benefits for:	closed Claimant's	s case for benefits
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?	☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)? ☐ State Emergency Services (SER)?	
FINDINGS OF	FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the evidence on the whole record, finds as material to	•	rial, and substantial
1. On January 29, 2013, the Department:		
☑ denied Claimant's application for bene☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits☐ reduced Claimant's benefits under the		s):
☐FIP ☑FAP ☐MA ☐AMP ☐]SDA	SER.

2.	On February 1, 2013, the Department:
	 ☑ denied Claimant's application for benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits under the following program(s):
	☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☒ MA ☐ AMP ☐ SDA ☐ CDC ☐ SER.
3.	On February 20, 2013, the Department sent notice to Claimant (or Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative) of the:
	☑ denials☐ closure☐ reduction.
4.	On February 26, 2013, Claimant filed a request for hearing concerning the Department's action.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Eligib	rtment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges ility Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Manual (ERM).
Respo 42 US Ageno throug	ne Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal consibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, SC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 gh Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ive October 1, 1996.
progra imple Regul Agena	ne Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) am] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is mented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal lations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 001 through Rule 400.3015.
Secur The I	ne Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social rity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 05.

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is established by 2004 PA 344. The SER program is administered pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and by 1999 AC, Rule 400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Department policies are found in the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action regarding the denial of his application for FAP and MA. The Claimant testified at the hearing that he withdrew from applying for FIP and had no dispute with any FIP determination. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: redetermine the Claimant's eligibility for FAP and MA benefits back to the denial dates of his application and using the verification the Claimant has already submitted, and issue the Claimant any supplements he may thereafter be due.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wishes to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING:

- Initiate action to redetermine the Claimant's eligibility for FAP and MA back to the denial dates of the Claimant's application and using the verifications he has already submitted, and
- 2. Initiate action to issue the Claimant any supplements he may thereafter be due.

<u>/s/</u>

Susanne E. Harris Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 3/27/13

Date Mailed: 3/28/13

NOTICE: The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative Hearings

Reconsideration/Rehearing Request

P.O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SEH/tb



