STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:

2013-32004 3008

April 2, 2013 Wayne County DHS #17

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Tuesday , Ap ril 2, 2013 from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Cla imant included the claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included . MCW.

ISSUE

Due to excess income, did the Department properly deny the Claimant's applic ation Close Claimant's case reduce Claimant's benefits for:

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

Child Development and Care (CDC)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Cla imant applied for benefits for: Received benefits for:

Х	

Family Independence Program (FIP). Food Assistance Program (FAP). Medical Assistance (MA).

- Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). State Disability Assistance (SDA). Child Development and Care (CDC).
- 2. On February 15, 2013, the Department denied Claimant's application Closed Claimant's case 🛛 reduced Claimant's benefits due to failure to submit Redetermination Application, DHS 1010.
- 3. On February 15, 2013, the Department sent Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) Claimant

notice of the	denial.	🛛 closure.	reduction.
	uemai.		

4. On February 26, 2013, Cl	aimant or Claimant's A	HR filed a hear	ing reques t,
protesting the			
denial of the application.	\boxtimes closure of the case.	reduction c	of benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*.

☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-3131. FI P replaced the Aid to Depe ndent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) program] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal r egulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independenc e Agency) administers FAP pur suant to MCL 400. 10, *et seq*., and 1997 AACS R 400.3001-3015.

☐ The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) admini sters the SDA program pursuant to M CL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE
and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of
1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996.
The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98
and 99. T he Department provides servic es to adult s and children pursuant to MCL
400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

Additionally, the claimant was a recipient of FAP benefits. On De cember 11, 2012, the department caseworker sent the claimant a redetermination application and intervie w notice dated January 2, 2013. The claimant testified credibly that she mailed the redetermination application back to the d epartment on December 20, 2012. The

department caseworker did not receive the redetermination applic ation sent by the claimant. When the department casework are did not call the claimant on January 2, 2013 at 8 a.m., the claimant ca led and left a message. The claimant testified credibly that she ca lled the department caseworker mu Itiple times, but did not receive a return call. If the department ca seworker had called the claimant back within 24 hours as is required in policy, then she could have resubmitted her redetermination application and her case would not have closed.

Therefore, the department has not met its burden that it fo llowed policy in clos ing the claimant's FAP c ase for failure to return redetermination application by the due date . The department caseworker should have returned the call of the claimant and told her that her redetermination application had no t been received and to resubmit before 1/31/13 when her case would close. The claimant reapplied on February 14, 2013 and received partial benefits for February 2013 of **S** As a result, the department need s to redetermine the claimant's FAP eligibility retroactive to February 1, 2013 to February 13, 2013.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative La income, the Department income properly improperly improperly

denied Claimant's application reduced Claimant's benefits

 \boxtimes closed Claimant's case

for: \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department idid act properly idid not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Initiate a redetermination of the claimant's FAP eligibility retroactive to February 1, 2013 to February 13, 2013.
- 2. Provide the Claimant and her authorized representative with written notification of the Department's revised eligibility determination.

3. Issue the Claimant any retroactive benefits she/he may be eligible to receive, if any.

/s/

Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 12, 2013

Date Mailed: April 12, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that
 effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322



CC:		