STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:	
ssue No.:	
Case No.:	
Hearing Date:	
County:	

2013-31867 3008

March 27, 2013 Washtenaw County DHS #20

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Wednesday, March 27, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claiman t included the claim ant's attorney, P# 73464, from Legal Aide of Sout h Central Michigan. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Departm ent) includ ed APSu p an d ES.

ISSUE

Due to a failure to comply with the ve rification requirements, did the Department properly 🛛 deny Claimant's application 🗌 close Claimant's case 🗌 reduce Claimant's benefits for:

imes	

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)? Child Development and Care (CDC)? State Emergency Relief (SER)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantia evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

- 1. Cla imant applied for was receiving: FIP AFAP MA SDA CDC SER.
- 2. Cla imant \boxtimes was \square was not provided with a Verification Checklist (DHS-3503).
- 3. Claimant was required to submit requested verification by January 22, 2013.
- 4. On January 26, 2013, the Department

201331867/CGF

denied Claimant's application

closed Claimant's case

reduced Claimant's benefits

for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.

5. On January 26, 2013, the Department sent notice of the

 \boxtimes denial of Claimant's application.

closure of Claimant's case.

reduction of Claimant's benefits.

6. On February 19, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ⊠ denial. □ closure. □ reduction.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

☐ The Family Independence Progr am (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [for merly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

☐ The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) progr am which provides financial as sistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Depart ment (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) admini sters the SDA program pursuant to M CL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. T he Department provides servic es to adult s and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

201331867/CGF

The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is establ ished by 2 004 PA 344. The SER program is administer ed pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and by, 1999 AC, R 400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Department polic ies are found in the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

Additionally, the claimant failed to turn in her/his required verification of vehible sale and sale price of sold car by the due dat e, which resulted in her/his case being denied/closed. The claimant is entitled to re-apply for benefits.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly

closed Claimant's case.

denied Claimant's application.

reduced Claimant's benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly. \square did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Depar tment's decision is	🛛 AFFIRMED	REVERSED for the
reasons stated on the record.		

/s/

Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 4, 2013

Date Mailed: April 4, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the receipt date of this Dec ision and Orde r. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a tim ely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

201331867/CGF

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, math ematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

C			/h	i.
	G	Γ/		ч

