## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201331775 3009 April 2, 2013 Wayne 49
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne I	E. Harris	
HEARING D	ECISION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's requestelephone hearing was held on April 2, 2013 behalf of Claimant included Human Services (Department) included As	uest for a hearing. , from Lansing, Michi Participants on beh	After due notice, a gan. Participants on alf of Departm <u>ent of</u>
ISSU	<u>JE</u>	
Did the Department properly  deny Claims for:	ant's application 🛛 c	lose Claimant's case
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	State Disability A	sistance (AMP)? assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS (	OF FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on evidence on the whole record, finds as materi		rial, and substantial
1. Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ receive	ed benefits for:	
<ul> <li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li> <li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li> <li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA).</li> </ul>	State Disability	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).
2. On March 1, 2013, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ claim to a criminal justice disqualification.	losed Claimant's case	

## 201331775/SEH

	On February 11, 2013, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the Contain C
4. <b>(</b>	On February 22, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial of the application.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	partment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Iges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Res 42 U Age thro	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, <i>et seq.</i> The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 augh Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program active October 1, 1996.
prog impl Reg Age	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) gram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is lemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal gulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 ough Rule 400.3015.
Sec The Age	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL .105.
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ninistered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
for (Serv	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human vices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA gram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule .3180.
and 1990 The and	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 0, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. Program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 811 (2013) p. 1 provides that Michigan State Police (MSP) identifies Claimants or group members who are currently on a monthly basis. MSP also identifies when the Claimant or group member is no longer a on a daily basis. This is an automated process in Bridges which identifies an exact match based on first name, last name, date of birth, social security number and gender. The monthly match will set to close any Claimant's identified as a When the Bridges computer system sets a Claimant's case to close, a DHS-1605, Notice of Case Action, will be generated. This notice will inform the Claimant that they, or a group member, have a disqualification showing, and to go to a group member agency to resolve the issue. This notice is in evidence as Department's Exhibit 2.
The Claimant in this case testified that, though he was he is unaware of any outstanding for his the Departments APW testified that the Office of Inspector General reports that the issued the on the Claimant testified that he did not go to his department as instructed by the Department's notice. The Claimant asked if he would still be eligible if he addressed the matter of the outstanding warrant. The Claimant was informed that BAM 811 p. 1 also provides that if it is found that neither match is accurate, the specialist will correct the status in Bridges and that Bridges automatically identifies when the Claimant is no longer a lit was suggested to the Claimant that he contact the Detroit Police Department. The Administrative Law Judge determines that when the Department took action to close the Claimant's FAP case for a criminal justice disqualification of fugitive felon, the Department was acting in accordance with its policy.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department $\square$ did act properly. $\square$ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's $\square$ AMP $\square$ FIP $\boxtimes$ FAP $\square$ MA $\square$ SDA $\square$ CDC decision is $\boxtimes$ AFFIRMED $\square$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
/s/ Susanne E. Harris Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 4/5/13
Date Mailed: 4/5/13

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## SEH/tb

cc: