## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES		
IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201331715 3000 March 26, 2013 Wayne 15
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E.	Harris	
SETTLEMENT	ORDER	
This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's requestelephone hearing was held on March 26, 2013 on behalf of Claimant included Department of Human Services (Department)	st for a hearing. 3, from Lansing, Mi Participant	After due notice, a chigan. Participants s on behalf of <u>the</u>
ISSUE		
Whether the Department properly:		
denied Claimant's application for benefits reduced Claimant's benefits for:	⊠ closed Claimant'	s case for benefits
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?  ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?  ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?  ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?	Child Developme	assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)? y Services (SER)?
FINDINGS OF	FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the evidence on the whole record, finds as material		erial, and substantial
1. On February 1, 2013, the Department:		
<ul><li>☐ denied Claimant's application for bene</li><li>☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits</li><li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits under the</li></ul>		s):

☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☐ MA ☐ AMP ☐ SDA ☐ CDC ☐ SER.

	In January 19, 2013, the Department sent notice to Claimant (or Claimant's uthorized Hearing Representative) of the:
	denial closure reduction.
	On February 20, 2013, Claimant filed a request for hearing concerning the repartment's action.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Eligibility	nent policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency anual (ERM).
Respons 42 USC Agency) through	Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program a October 1, 1996.
program] implement Regulation Agency)	Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS)] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is ented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal ons (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 1999 AC, Rule 1 through Rule 400.3015.
Security The Dep	Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). partment of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL.
	Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is tered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
for disab Services	State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance bled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through 0.3180.

and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of
1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996.
The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98
and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL
400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
☐ The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is established by 2004 PA 344. The
SER program is administered pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and by 1999 AC, Rule
400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Department policies are found in the State
Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the Department testified that the Claimant had submitted the information requested on a DHS-1171, Application for Assistance, which the Claimant had completed at the Department's request for "case reconstruction." When the ALJ asked why the information could simply not be transferred onto the appropriate form, the Department indicated that it was willing to do that and willing to redetermine the Claimant's eligibility back the closure date of his FAP and MA cases. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: Using the information contained on the DHS-1171, Assistance Application, redetermine the Claimant's eligibility for FAP and MA back to the date his FAP and MA cases closed and to issue the Claimant any supplement he may thereafter be due.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wishes to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

## THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING:

1. Using the information contained on the DHS-1171, Assistance Application, initiate action to redetermine the Claimant's eligibility for FAP and MA back to the date his FAP and MA cases closed, and

Initiate action to issue the Claimant any supplement he may thereafter be due.

/s/

Susanne E. Harris Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 3/27/13

Date Mailed: 3/28/13

**NOTICE**: The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the
  original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- · misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## SEH/tb



