STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

	Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	3028 May 2, 2013 DHS MI-CAP/SSPC
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G.	. Fahie	
HEARING DI	<u>ECISION</u>	
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Thursday, May 2, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included t he claimant's mother and guardian, ent of Human Services (Department) included , ES.		
<u>ISSU</u>	<u>E</u>	
Did the Departm ent properly ⊠ deny Claimaı for:	n t's application 🔲 cl	ose Claimant's case
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS C	OF FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:		
1. Cla imant ⊠ applied for benefits ⊡ receive	ed benefits for:	
 ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). 	State Disability A	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).
 On March 21, 2013, the Department	osed Claimant's case g the maximum amou	ınt of Social Security

3.	On March 21, 2013, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	On March 29, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the \boxtimes denial of the application. \square closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are contained in the Bri dges Administrative Manual (BAM), the idges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established purs uant to the Personal esponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence gency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 rough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program fective October 1, 1996.
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is uplemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence gency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 rough Rule 400.3015.
Se Th Ag	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial ecurity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independ ence gency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC L00.105.
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is Iministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
for Se pro	The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance redisabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human ervices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400. 3151 through Rule 10.3180.
an 19 Th an	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE at XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 at 99. The Depart ment provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 190.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, the claimant applied for MICAP on March 9, 2013. The claimant receives only from SSI instead of the maximum amount of As a result, the claimant is not eligible for the MICAP program, but he may be eligible for regular FAP. The department has met its burden that the claim ant was not eligible for MICAP because he did not receive the maximum amount of SSI. BEM 618.		
Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department		
 □ properly denied Claimant's application □ properly closed Claimant's case □ improperly closed Claimant's case		
for:		
DECISION AND ORDER		
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.		
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.		
/s/		
Carmen G. Fahie		
Administrative Law Judge		
For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services		
Date Signed: May 7, 2013		
Date Mailed: May 7, 2013		

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, math ematical error, or other obvious errors in the he aring decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/hj

cc: