## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

## IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No:201330740Issue No:2009; 4031Case No:June 20, 2013Hearing Date:June 20, 2013Jackson County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: William A. Sundquist

# HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Cla imant's request for a hearing. After due notice, an in person hearing was held on Thursday; June 20, 2013. Claimant appeared and provided testimony on his behalf with Participant's on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included and provided and provided and provided beta for the Department of Human Services (Department) included and provided and provided beta for the Department of Human Services (Department) included and provided beta for the Department of Human Services (Department) included and provided beta for the Department of Human Services (Department) included and provided beta for the Department of Human Services (Department) included and provided beta for the Department of Human Services (Department) included and provided beta for the Department of Human Services (Department) included and provided beta for the Department of Human Services (Department) included and provided beta for the Department of Human Services (Department) included and provided beta for the Department of Human Services (Department) included and provided beta for the Department of Human Services (Department) included beta for the Department of Human Services (Department) included beta for the Department of Human Services (Department) included beta for the Department of Human Services (Department) included beta for the Department of Human Services (Department) included beta for the Department of Human Services (Department) included beta for the Department of Human Services (Department) included beta for the Department of Human Services (Department) included beta for the Department of Human Services (Department) included beta for the Department of Human Services (Department) included beta for the Department of Human Services (Department) included beta for the Department of Human Services (Department) included beta for the Department of Human Services (Department) included beta for the Department of Hum

## ISSUE

Was disability, as defined below, medically established?

# **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- Claimant's MA-P/SDA application September 12, 2012 was denied on February 12, 2013 per BEM 260/26 1, with a hearing request on February 21, 2013.
- 2. Vocational factors: A ge 53, with a GED education, and unskilled/semiskilled work experience.
- 3. Claimant's last employment ended in January, 2004.
- 4. Claimant's alleged disabling sy mptoms: C hronic racing thought s, mood swings, memory loss, concentration di fficulty, and panic attacks; severe pain in right shoulder when raising a rm to shoulder level and chronic neck pain; limited to lifting/carrying one gallon of liquid.
- 5. Claimant alleged disabl ing medical dis order(s): Bipolar disorder, depression, and anxiety. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 645).

#### 201330740/WAS

6. Medical reports of exams state the claimant on:

June 21, 2012: Has a full range of motion of the right shoulder with no joint deformity or swelling. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 10).

July 26, 2012: Is a rather healthy -looking male who is in no acute distress with a GAF score of 45. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 44 & 54).

July 29, 2012: Is alert, and oriented times three with a GAF score of 25. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 35).

August 7, 2012: Has GAF scores of 35, 40, 45, and 50. (DHS Exhibit A, Pgs. 27-38)

August 14, 2012: Has a *normal* affect; that he is not anxious, has a *normal* knowledge, has *normal* language, exhibits *normal* judgment, has *normal* attention span and concentration. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 7).

September 5, 2012: Has a GAF score of 25; that his extremities are *normal* and have an adequate ra nge of motion; that he has a good range of motion in all four extremities; and that he is alert and orient ed times three. (DHS Exhibit A, Pgs. 58-60)

October 18, 2012: Is well-develo ped and well-nouris hed and in no acute distress; that he has *normal* strength and tone; that he has *normal* extremities with adequate st rength and full range of motion. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 120).

September 30, 2012: Had GAF scores of 30 and 40. (DHS Exhibit A, Pgs. 38 & 61).

September 14, 2012- November 16, 2012: Was hospitalized for alcoho I intoxication and abuse nine different times.

7. State Hearing Rev iew Team dec ision dated April 27, 2013 states the Claimant's impairments do not meet/equal a Social Se curity listing for the required duration. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 644).

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by T itle 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

### 201330740/WAS

The State Disability A ssistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Service s (DHS or department) admin isters the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department polic ies ar e found in the Bridg es Administrative Manua I (BAM), the Bridges Elig ibility Manual (B EM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Facts above are undisputed.

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substant ial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

...We follow a set order to determine whether y ou are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your resi dual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, educati on and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

When determining disability, the federal regulations are used as a guideline and require that several considerations be analyzed in sequentia I order. If dis ability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is <u>not</u> required. These steps are:

1. Does the client perf orm S ubstantial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).

2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected t o last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the cl ient is i neligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

3. Does the impairment appear on a spec ial listing of impairments or are the clie nt's sympto ms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings spec ified for the listed im pairment? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).

4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is

ineligible for MA. If no, the anal ysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).

5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform oth er work ac cording to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpar t P, Appendix 2, Sec tions 200.00-204.00? If yes, the anal ysis ends and the c lient is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

The claimant had the burden of proof to establish disability in accordance with steps 1-4 above... 20CFR 416.912 (a). The burden of proof shifts to the DHS at Step 5... 20CFR 416.960 (c)(2).

[In reviewing your impairment]...We need reports about your impairments from acceptable m edical sources.... 20 CFR 416.913(a).

Acceptable medical verification sources are licensed physicians, osteopaths, or certified psychologists ...20CFR 416.913(a)

...The med ical evidence...mus t be complete and detailed enough to allow us to make a determination about whether you are disabled or blind. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

It must allow us to determine --

(1) The nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question;

(2) The probable duration of your impairment; and

(3) Your residual functional capac ity to do w ork-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

## Step 1

...If you are working and the work you are doing is substantial gainful activity, we will find that you are not disabled regardless of your m edical condition or your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(b).

The evidence of record established that the claimant has not engaged in substantial gainful activity since J anuary, 2004. Therefore, the sequential evaluation is required to continue to the next step.

## Step 2

... [The re cord must show a severe impairment] which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities.... 20 CFR 416.920(c).

**Basic w ork activities.** When we talk about basic work activities, we mean the abilities and aptitudes neces sary to do most jobs. Examples of these include:

1. Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;

2. Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;

3. Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;

4. Use of judgment;

5. Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and

6. Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

**Non-severe impairment(s)**. An impairment or combination of impairments is not severe if it does not signific antly limit your physical or mental ability to do bas ic work activities. 20 CFR 416.921(a).

...If you do not have any impairment or combination of impairments which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, we will find that you do not have a severe impairment and are, therefore, not di sabled. We will not consider your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

The medic al reports of record are mostly examination, diagnostic, treatment and progress reports. They do not provide medi cal assessments of Cla imant's basic work limitations for the required dur ation. Stated differently, the me dical reports do not establish whether the Claimant is impaired mi nimally, mildly, m oderately (non-severe impairment, as defined abov e) or severely, as defined above for a one year c ontinuous duration.

The claimants disabling symptoms (Findings of Fact #4) are inconsistent with the objective medical evidence of record (Findings of Fact #6).

...Your sy mptoms, i ncluding pain, will be determined t o diminish your capacity for basic work activities...to the extent

that your alleged functional limitations and restrictions due to symptoms, such as pain, can reasonably be accept ed as consistent with the objectiv e medica I evid ence and other evidence. 20 CFR 416.929(c)(4).

...Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not alone establish that you are disabled; there must be medical signs and laboratory findings which s how that you have a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...A statement by a medical source that you are "disabled" or "unable to work" does not mean t hat we will determine that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

The medical reports (Findings of Fact #6) s how that Claimant's physical examinations were within normal limitations; that his physical impairments were minimal to moderate (not severe); and that his condition is unstable due to alcohol abuse.

Claimant had GAF scores of 25 and 45 in J uly, 2012, 45 and 50 in August, 2012, 25 in September, 2012, and 30 and 40 in October, 2012. All these scores are considered a severe mental impairment with occupational-functioning. DSM IV (4<sup>th</sup> edition-revised).

When the record contains ev idence of DAA, a determination m ust be made whether or not the per son would continue to be disabled if the individual stopped using drugs or alcohol. T he Trier of fact must determine what, if any, of the phys ical or mental limitations would remain if the person were to stop using drugs and alcohol and whether any of these limitations would be disabling.

Claimant's testimony and the information indicate that Claimant has a history of alcohol abuse. Applicable hear ing is the drug abus e and alc ohol (DAA) legislation Public Law 104-21, Section 105(b)(1), 110 stat. 853, 42, UFC, 423 (d)(2)(C), 1382(c)(a)(3)(J) supplement 5, 1999. The law indicates that individuals are not eligible and/or are not disabled when drug addiction or alcoholism is a contributing factor to the determination of disability. After careful review of the credible and s ubstantial evidence on the who le record, this ALJ finds that Claimant does not meet the statutory disability definition under the authority of the DAA legislation because his substance abuse is material to his alleged impairment and alleged disability.

The Claimant has not sustained his bur den of proof to establis h a seve re physical/mental impairment in combination, instead of a non-severe impairment, for the required one year continuous duration.

Therefore, the sequential evaluation is required to stop at Step 2.

The department's Bridges Eligibility Manual contains the following policy statements and instructions for caseworkers regarding the State Disability As sistance program: to receive State Disability Assist ance, a person must be dis abled, caring for a disable d person or age 65 or older. BEM , Item 261, p. 1. Because the claimant does not meet

the definition of disabled u nder the MA-P program and becaus e the evidence of record does not establish that claimant is unable t o work for a period exceeding 90 days, the claimant does not meet the disability criteria for Stat e Disability Assistanc e benefits either.

Therefore, medical disability has not been established at Step 2 by the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record.

## DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, decides disability was not medically established.

Accordingly, MA-P denial is UPHELD and so ORDERED.

/s/

William A. Sundquist Administrative Law Judge For Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 21, 2013

Date Mailed: June 25, 2013

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may or der a re hearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at t he request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

WAS/hj

