STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:	201330648
Issue No.:	3008
Case No.:	
Hearing Date:	March 20, 2
County:	Macomb 20

0.2013

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E. Harris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 3, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on Participants on behalf of Department of behalf of Claimant included Human Services (Department) included Eligibility Specialist (ES)

ISSUE

Did the Department properly 🛛 deny Claimant's application 🗌 close Claimant's case for:

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

Adult	Medical Assista	nce (AMP)?
	D: 1 111 A	

State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

Child Development and Care (CDC)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant 🖂 applied for benefits 🗍 received benefits for:



Family Independence Program (FIP). Food Assistance Program (FAP). Medical Assistance (MA).

- Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). State Disability Assistance (SDA). Child Development and Care (CDC).
- 2. On January 18, 2013, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case due to his failure to submit the required verification.

- On January 18, 2013, the Department sent
 ☐ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)
 notice of the ☐ denial. ☐ closure.
- 4. On February 19, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the \square denial of the application. \square closure of the case.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

⊠ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

	The	Adult	Medical	Program	(AMP)	is	established	by	42	USC	1315,	and	is
administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.													

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

201330648/SEH

Procedural History: On March 5, 2013, the Claimant was sent Notice of Hearing informing him that the instant hearing would occur on March 20, 2013. On March 12, 2013, the Claimant requested an adjournment of the March 20, 2013 hearing. On March 13, 2013, the Administrative Law Judge issued an Order Granting Adjournment. On March 18, 2013, the Claimant was sent Notice of Hearing informing him that the instant hearing would occur on April 3, 2013. On April 2, 2013, the Claimant requested a second adjournment for an in-person hearing. On April 3, 2013, the Administrative Law Judge issued an Order Denying Request for Adjournment, as the Claimant could have requested an in-person when he originally requested the hearing and then again when he requested his first adjournment.

The uncontested facts in this case are as follows: On December 18, 2013, the Claimant was issued a DHS-3503, Verification Checklist requesting verification of stopped employment, due by December 28, 2012. The Claimant's previous employer was sent a DHS-38, Verification of Employment form. Neither the Claimant nor the employer returned the requested verification.

Bridges Assistance Manual (BAM) 130 (2012) p. 5 provides that verifications are considered to be timely if received by the date they are due. BAM 130 p. 5 instructs Department workers to send a negative action notice when the client indicates refusal to provide a verification, or when the time period given has elapsed and the client has not made a reasonable effort to provide it. In this case, the Administrative Law Judge determines that the time period to submit the verification had lapsed. The Claimant testified that he has tried to obtain the verification and the employer simply will not give him anything and that he has communicated this to his ES. The Department did not dispute this testimony.

BAM 130 pp. 1, 2 provides that the Department workers use documents, collateral contacts or home calls to verify information. A collateral contact is a direct contact with a person, organization or agency to verify information from the client. It might be necessary when documentation is not available or when available evidence needs clarification. In this case, the Claimant clearly is having difficulty obtain the requested verification and his previous employer, , failed to return the DHS-38, Verification of Employment form. When the Administrative Law Judge asked the Department's ES why it was that she could not assist the Claimant in obtaining the required verification by telephoning , the ES testified that there was no reason she could not do that. The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department should have assisted the Claimant in obtaining the verification by making a collateral contact, specifically a telephone call, to to inquire whether or not the Claimant remained employed there. Therefore, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that when the Department took action to deny the Claimant's application, the Department was not acting in accordance with its policy.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly denied Claimant's application improperly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case for: AMP FIP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department indicated did act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP K FAP AA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Initiate action to re-determined the Claimant's eligibility for FAP back to the original application dated, and
- 2. As part of that process assist the Claimant with verifying his stopped employment, and
- 3. Initiate action to issue the Claimant any supplements he may thereafter be due.

<u>/s/</u>

Susanne E. Harris Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>4/10/13</u>

Date Mailed: <u>4/10/13</u>

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

201330648/SEH

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SEH/tb

