STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF	IN	ΙT	HΕ	MA.	TTER	OF:
------------------	----	----	----	-----	------	-----

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-30598 3015 March 21, 2013 Saginaw County DHS			
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie					
HEARING DEC	ISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Thursday, Ma rch 21, 2013 from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Cla imant included the claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included					
<u>ISSUE</u>					
Due to excess income, did the Department properly ☐ deny the Claimant's applic ation ☐ close Claimant's case ☑ reduce Claimant's benefits for:					
Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?			
FINDINGS OF	FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:					
1. Cla imant ☐ applied for benefits for: ☒ r	eceived benefits fo	r:			
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). ☐	State Disability /	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).			

201330598/CGF

2.	On February 4, 2013, the Department
3.	On February 4, 2013, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure. reduction.
4. (On February 13, 2013, Cla imant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request , protesting the
	denial of the application. closure of the case. reduction of benefits.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	partment policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
Re 42 Ag 31	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-31. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective stober 1, 1996.
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pur suant to MCL 400. 10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 0.3001-3015.
Se Th	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for as	The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial ass istance disabled persons, is establis hed by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the F amily Independence Agency) administ ers the SDA program pursuant to M CL 0.10, et seq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.
and 199	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establishhed by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 90, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. e program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98

and 99. The Department provides servicies to adult and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

BPG-Glossary, page 26

LUMP SUM

A one-time payment that is **not** an accumulation of monthly benefits. Examples: Income tax refunds, inheritances, insurance settlements, injury awards.

BEM 400-ASSETS, page 11-12

Lump Sums and Accumulated Benefits

Lump sums and accumulated benefits are defined in the BPG, Glossary.

FIP, SDA AMP and FAP

did act properly

Lump sums and accumulated benefits are assets starting the month received.

received a lump sum payment for a jury award in the amount of \$

A person might receive a single payment that includes both accumulated benefits and benefits intended as a payment for the current month. Treat the portion intended for the current month as income.

Additionally, the claim ant was a recipient of FAP benefits. In December 2012, she

Exhibit 1-1. The department caseworker inco rrectly divided the income by 12 for a
yearly budget that re sulted in a decrease in FAP benef its from \$ to \$ However,
based on policy, the claimant should have been exc ess assets for the first month and
her FAP case closed. The claimant shoul d have had an opportunity to reapply the next
month and a new ass et determination should have been conducted to determine if she
was exces s assets for the next month. Therefore, the departm ent has not met its
burden that the claimant's lumpsum payment should be treated as monthly income.
Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative La w Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department
☐ denied Claimant's application☒ reduced Claimant's benefits☐ closed Claimant's case
for:
DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions

of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department

did not act properly.

201330598/CGF

	cordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
	THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF EDATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
1.	Initiate a redetermination of the Claimant's eligibility for FAP by an asset determination for December 2012.
2.	Provide the Claimant with written notification of the Department's revised eligibility determination.
3.	Issue the Claimant any retroactive benefits she/he may be eligible to receive, if any.
	/s/ Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services
_	

Date Signed: April 4, 2013

Date Mailed: April 4, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- · misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/hj

