STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

Reg. No.: 2013-29210

3008

#19

Issue No.:

J THE		

	Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	March 19, 2013 Wayne County DHS				
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie						
HEARING DECISION						
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Tuesday, Marc h 19, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the claimant and her husband, Participant s on behalf of Depar tment of Human Services (Department) included PM and FIS.						
ISSUE						
Due to a failure to comply with the ve rification requirements, did the Department properly ⊠ deny Claimant's application ☐ close Claimant's case ☐ reduce Claimant's benefits for:						
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	☐ State Disability As ☐ Child Developmel ☐ State Emergency	nt and Care (CDC)?				
FINDINGS OF FACT						
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon tevidence on the whole record, including testimo		•				
 Cla imant	□FIP ⊠FAP □MA	N □SDA □CDC □				
2. Cla imant ⊠ was ☐ was not provided with a Verification Checklist (DHS-3503.						
3. Claimant was required to submit requested	verification by Decem	nber 26, 2012.				

4.	On January 31, 2013, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.			
5.	On January 31, 2013, the Department sent notice of the ☐ denial of Claimant's application. ☐ closure of Claimant's case. ☐ reduction of Claimant's benefits.			
6.	On February 11, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ⊠ denial. ☐ closure. ☐ reduction.			
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW			
Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).				
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence gency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 rough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program fective October 1, 1996.			
∑ The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) program] is establis hed by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal r egulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independenc e Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.				
☐ The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.				
for as	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) progr am which provides financial as sistance disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Depart ment (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to M CL 0.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.			
	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establishhed by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of			

1990, and the Personal Responsibility and With the program is implemented by Title 45 of and 99. The Department provides services 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through	the Code of Feder al Regulations, Parts 98 s to adult s and children pursuant to MCL					
☐ The State Emergency Relief (SER) prog SER program is administer ed pursuant to M 400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Depart Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).						
Additionally, the claimant failed to turn in her/his required verification of bank statements to verify eligibility by t he due date, which re sulted in her/his case being denied/closed. The department has met its burden because the claimant's failed provide the verification by the due date.						
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department \square properly \square improperly						
☐ closed Claimant's case.☐ denied Claimant's application.☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.						
DECISION AND ORDER						
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did not act properly. \square did not act properly.						
Accordingly, the Depar tment's decision is reasons stated on the record.	☐ AFFIRMED ☐ REVERSED for the					
	<u>/s/</u> Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director					
Date Signed: March 28, 2013	Department of Human Services					
Date Mailed: March 28, 2013						

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the receipt date of this Dec ision and Orde r. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, math ematical error, or other obvious errors in the he aring decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/hj

cc: