# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: Re

Reg. No: 201328869

Issue No: 1038 Case No:

Hearing Date: March 13, 2013

Kalamazoo County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: C. Adam Purnell

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 13, 2013. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Mollie Williams (Claimant), (Claimant's friend) and (Claimant's mother) Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included (Triage specialist) and (PATH Case Manager).

## **ISSUE**

Did the Department properly terminate and sanction Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) for noncompliance with Work First/Jobs, Education and Training (WF/JET) requirements?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was a FIP recipient and a mandatory WF/JET participant.
- 2. Claimant, as part of her mandatory WF/JET participation, was required to provide weekly Job Search/Job Readiness (JR/JR) logs verifying employment activities. Michigan Works office required verification of Claimant's employment-related activities and accepted documentation that consisted of: (1) copies of in-person applications; (2) follow-up phone calls; (3) resumes; (4) online applications; and (4) in-person interviews.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For resumes that are emailed to prospective employers, the participant is required to attach a confirmation of the emailed resume must be printed and attached to the job leads sheet.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> A confirmation of the application sent online must be attached to the job leads sheet.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Claimant must list the company name, location and phone number on the job leads sheet.

- 3. Claimant did not have any WF/JET approved reduced participation requirements.
- 4. On January 29, 2013, Claimant submitted false and/or misleading JS/JR activity logs for January 6, 2013 and January 7, 2013.
- 5. On February 1, 2013, the Department mailed Claimant a Notice of Noncompliance (DHS-2444) because she failed to participate as required in employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities. Claimant's Triage appointment was scheduled for February 7, 2013 at 9:00a.m.
- 6. On February 7, 2013, Claimant attended Triage and stated that she had good cause. The Department found Claimant did not show good cause for her noncompliance.
- 7. The Department mailed Claimant a Notice of Case Action (DHS-1605) on February 1, 2013, which closed Claimant's FIP benefits for 3 months effective March 1, 2013.
- 8. Claimant submitted a hearing request on February 8, 2013 protesting the closure of her FIP benefits.
- 9. This is Claimant's first non-compliance with the WF/JET program.

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901-400.951. An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because his claim for assistance is denied. MAC R 400.903(1). Clients have the right to contest a department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness. BAM 600.

The Family Independence Program (FIP), also referred to as "cash assistance" was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, et seq. The Department of Human Services (DHS or Department) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Reference Table Manual (RFT), and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

The Department requires clients to participate in employment and self-sufficiency related activities and to accept employment when offered. BEM 233A. The focus is to

assist clients in removing barriers so they can participate in activities which lead to self-sufficiency. BEM 233A. However, there are consequences for a client who refuses to participate, without good cause. BEM 233A.

The goal of the FIP penalty policy is to obtain client compliance with appropriate work and/or self-sufficiency related assignments and to ensure that barriers to such compliance have been identified and removed. BEM 233A. The goal is to bring the client into compliance. BEM 233A.

A Work Eligible Individual (WEI) and non-WEIs<sup>4</sup>, who fails, without good cause, to participate in employment or self-sufficiency-related activities, must be penalized. BEM 233A. Depending on the case situation, penalties include the following: (1) delay in eligibility at application; (2) ineligibility (denial or termination of FIP with no minimum penalty period); (3) case closure for a minimum of three months for the first episode of noncompliance, six months for the second episode of noncompliance and lifetime closure for the third episode of noncompliance. BEM 233A.

Federal and state laws require each work eligible individual (WEI) in the FIP and Refugee Assistance Program (RAP) group to participate in the JET Program or other employment-related activities unless temporarily deferred or engaged in activities that meet participation requirements. BEM 230A. These clients must participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities to increase their employability and obtain stable employment. BEM 230A. WEIs not referred to the work participation program will participate in other activities to overcome barriers so they may eventually be referred to the work participation program or other employment service provider. BEM 230A. A WEI who refuses, without good cause, to participate in assigned employment and/or other self-sufficiency related activities is subject to penalties. BEM 230A.

An applicant, recipient or a member add is noncompliant if he or she, without good cause, fails or refuses to do any of the following: (1) appear and participate with the JET Program or other employment service provider; (2) complete a Family Automated Screening Tool (FAST), as assigned as the first step in the Family Self-Sufficiency Plan (FSSP) process; (3) develop a FSSP or a Personal Responsibility Plan and Family Contract (PRPFC); (4) comply with activities assigned to on the FSSP; (5) provide legitimate documentation of work participation; (6) appear for a scheduled appointment or meeting related to assigned activities; (7) participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities; (8) accept a job referral; (9) complete a job application; (10) appear for a job interview.<sup>5</sup> BEM 233A.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Except ineligible grantees, clients deferred for lack of child care, and disqualified aliens. See BEM 228.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The Department will not apply the three month, six month or lifetime penalty to ineligible caretakers, clients deferred for lack of child care and disqualified aliens. Failure to complete a FAST or FSSP results in closure due to failure to provide requested verification. Clients can reapply at any time. BEM 233A.

Good cause is a valid reason for noncompliance with employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant person. A claim of good cause must be verified and documented for member adds and recipients. If it is determined at triage that the client has good cause, and good cause issues have been resolved, the client should be sent back to JET. BEM 233A. Good cause should be determined based on the best information available during the triage and prior to the negative action date. Good cause may be verified by information already on file with DHS or MWA. Good cause must be considered even if the client does not attend, with particular attention to possible disabilities (including disabilities that have not been diagnosed or identified by the client) and unmet needs for accommodation. BEM 233A.

The penalty for noncompliance without good cause is FIP closure. BEM 233A. Depending on the case situation, penalties include the following: (1) delay in eligibility at application; (2) ineligibility (denial or termination of FIP with no minimum penalty period); (3) case closure for a minimum of three months for the first episode of noncompliance, six months for the second episode of noncompliance and lifetime closure for the third episode of noncompliance. BEM 233A.

The sanction period begins with the first pay period of a month. BEM 233A. Penalties are automatically calculated by the entry of noncompliance without good cause in the Department's computer system known as Bridges. This applies to active FIP cases, including those with a member add who is a WEI work participation program participant. BEM 233A.

Here, the Department contends that Claimant falsified her JS/JR activity logs from January 6, 2013 and January 7, 2013. Specifically, the Department alleges that Claimant falsely indicated that she indicated that she submitted applications for employment at the following businesses:

When the Department received Claimant's JS/JR sheets, it contacted these businesses and learned that no applications were on file. Claimant, during the hearing, testified that perhaps lost her application as it was busy on the day she submitted it. Claimant also stated that seen application to the corporate office in another state, which would explain why it was not on file when the Department inquired. Finally, Claimant stated that she gave her application to

Claimant offered two witnesses. Claimant's friend testified that she was the one who lost Claimant's application for New Friend Dementia and that she did not know where to take it. Claimant's mother did not believe Claimant's JS/JR sheets were false.

Testimony and other evidence must be weighed and considered according to its reasonableness. *Gardiner v Courtright*, 165 Mich 54, 62; 130 NW 322 (1911); *Dep't of Community Health v Risch*, 274 Mich App 365, 372; 733 NW2d 403 (2007). The weight and credibility of this evidence is generally for the fact-finder to determine. *Dep't of Community Health*, 274 Mich App at 372; *People v Terry*, 224 Mich App 447, 452; 569

NW2d 641 (1997). Moreover, it is for the fact-finder to gauge the demeanor and veracity of the witnesses who appear before him, as best he is able. See, e.g., *Caldwell v Fox*, 394 Mich 401, 407; 231 NW2d 46 (1975); *Zeeland Farm Services, Inc v JBL Enterprises, Inc*, 219 Mich App 190, 195; 555 NW2d 733 (1996).

This Administrative Law Judge does not believe any of Claimant's statements. Nor does the Administrative Law Judge find Claimant's witnesses credible or, in any way, persuasive. Claimant's explanation for why did not have her application on file was not credible.

Accordingly, this Administrative Law Judge finds that, based on the material and substantial evidence presented during the hearing, Claimant has failed to show good cause for falsifying her job search activity reports. As a result, the Department properly closed Claimant's FIP case for non-compliance.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the Department properly Claimant's FIP case for noncompliance with WF/JET requirements and the 3 (three) month sanction is AFFIRMED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/<u>s/</u>
C. Adam Purnell

C. Adam Purnell
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura D. Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 19, 2013

Date Mailed: March 20, 2013

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- · misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- · the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

#### CAP/cr

