STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201328803 1038 March 20, 2013 Monroe
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E	. Harris	
HEARING DE	CISION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's requestelephone hearing was held on March 20, 20 on behalf of Claimant included Human Services (Department) included Familiand Michigan Works Case Manager (Note: 1988).	est for a hearing. 13, from Lansing, Mi . Participants on bel lly Indep <u>endence Ma</u>	After due notice, a chigan. Participants nalf of Department of
ISSUE	Ī	
Did the Department properly \square deny Claimai for:	nt's application 🛚 cl	lose Claimant's case
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		sistance (AMP)? Assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS O	F FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material	•	rial, and substantial
1. Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ received	d benefits for:	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA).	State Disability	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).
2. On March 1, 2013, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ clo	sed Claimant's case	

due to her non-compliance with employment related activities.

3.	On January 22, 2013, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	On January 31, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the idges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence gency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 rough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program fective October 1, 1996.
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence gency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 rough Rule 400.3015.
Se Th Ag	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social ecurity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence gency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
for Se pro	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human ervices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 0.3180.
an 19 Th an	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 90, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 d 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 0.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

In this case, it was not contested that the Claimant did not engage in employment related activities from December 20, 2012 until January 22, 2013. The DHS-2444, Notice of Non-compliance in evidence gives the Claimant notice that she was non-compliant on January 22, 2013. The uncontested testimony was that the Claimant was non-compliant on January 22, 2013. The Department explained that it waited until this date to declare that the Claimant was non-compliant because the Department had been awaiting medical documentation of the Claimant's that the Claimant had been instructed to provide. The Department testified that the Claimant provided a note which indicated that her did come home from the on December 20, 2012, but that this was insufficient to establish good cause for failing to participate at all after that. The Claimant testified that she had four follow up visits after her was and it was not contested that the Claimant brought no documentation of this to triage. The Department testified that even if the Claimant had brought such documentation to triage, it would still not establish good cause for but perhaps 4 days of her non-compliance.
Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 233A (2012) provides that failing to participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities constitutes non-compliance. The Administrative Law Judge concludes, based on the uncontested testimony at the hearing, that the Claimant did not present evidence of good cause at the triage appointment. BEM 233A, p. 9 instructs the Department's worker to pend the case for closure when the Claimant does not establish good cause for failing to participate in employment related activities. Therefore, when the Department took action to close the Claimant's FIP case, the Department was acting in accordance with its policy.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department
 □ properly denied Claimant's application □ improperly denied Claimant's application □ improperly closed Claimant's case
for: AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly. \square did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \boxtimes FIP \square FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

<u>/s/</u>

Susanne E. Harris Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 3/22/13

Date Mailed: 3/22/13

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- · misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SEH/tb

CC:

