STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:	201328582
Issue No.:	2026, 3002
Case No.:	
Hearing Date:	
County DHS:	

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Participant s from on behalf of Claimant included . Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Due to excess income, did the Department properly deny the Claimant's applic ation Close Claimant's case X reduce Claimant's benefits for:

L			
ľ	>	<	1
R			7

Family Independence Program (FIP)?

Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?

closed Claimant's case

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

reduced Claimant's benefits due to excess income.

Child Development and Care (CDC)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

he competent, material, and substantial The Administrative Law Judge, based on t evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Cla imant applied for benefits for: received benefits for:

	 Family Independence Program (FIP). Food Assistance Program (FAP). Medical Assistance (MA). 		 Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). State Disability Assistance (SDA). Child Development and Care (CDC).
2.	On	the Department	denied Claimant's application

- 3. On _____, the Department sent _____ Claimant _____ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) ______ notice of the ______ denial. _____ closure. ⊠ reduction.
- 4. On protecting the ______, the Department received the Claimant's hearing request, protecting the ______ denial of the application. ______ closure of the case. ______ reduction of benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*.

☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [for merly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) program] is established by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

 \boxtimes The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) progr am, which provides financial ass istance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) admini sters the SDA program pursuant to M CL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98

and 99. T he Department provides servic es to adult s and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Based upon the abov e Fin	ndings of Fact and	Conclus io	ns of Law,	and for the	reasons
stated on the record, the A		0		hat, due to	excess
income, the Department		impro 🗌	perly		

denied Claimant's application

 \boxtimes reduced Claimant's benefits

] closed Claimant's case

for: \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \boxtimes MA \square SDA \square CDC.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly \square did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's	AMP	🗌 FIP	🛛 FAP	$\boxtimes MA$	SDA	
decision is 🛛 AFFIRMED 🗌 R	EVERSED) for the	reasons s	tated on t	the record	

/s/

Kevin Scully Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 03/18/2013

Date Mailed: 03/18/2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

201328582/KS

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical e rror, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings Recons ideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

KS/kl

