STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

4. Subsequently, the Department

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-28490 3008 March 19, 2013 Wayne County DHS #19
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fa	ahie	
HEARING DECISION		
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Tuesday, Marc h 19, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant inc luded the c laimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included, FIM and ES.		
<u>ISSUE</u>		
Due to a failure to comply with the ve rification requirements, did the Department properly deny Claimant's application close Claimant's case reduce Claimant's benefits for:		
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐	_	ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)? y Relief (SER)?
FINDINGS OF FACT		
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the evidence on the whole record, including testimony	•	•
Cla imant ☐ applied for ☒ was receiving: ☐ SER.	FIP ⊠FAP □MA	A □SDA □CDC □
 Cla imant	Redet ermination	on Application(DHS-
3. Claimant was required to submit requested verification by January 2, 2013.		

201328490/CGF denied Claimant's application Closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to submit verification in a timely manner. 5. Subsequently, the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application. $oxed{oxed}$ closure of Claimant's case. reduction of Claimant's benefits. 6. On February 4, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial. Closure. reduction. **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW** Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT). The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. The Food Assistance e Program (FAP) [for merly known as the Food Stamp (FS)] program] is establis hed by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independenc e Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015. The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial as sistance for disabled persons is established by 20 04 PA 344. The Depar tment (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) admini sters the SDA program pursuant to M CL 400.10, et seg., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180. The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establishhed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of F ederal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides servicies to adult a and children pursuant to MCL

400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

201328490/CGF
☐ The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is estable ished by 2 004 PA 344. The SER program is administer ed pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and by, 1999 AC, R 400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Department police ies are found in the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).
Additionally, the claimant failed to turn in her/his required verification of a completed and signed redetermination application to verify continued eligibility by the due date, whic h resulted in her/his case being denied/closed. The claimant is entitled to re-apply for benefits.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department ☐ properly ☐ improperly
☐ closed Claimant's case.☐ denied Claimant's application.☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did act properly. ☐ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Depar tment's decision is $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$
/s/
Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services
Date Signed: March 25, 2013

Date Mailed: March 25, 2013

201328490/CGF

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the receipt date of this Dec ision and Orde r. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, math ematical error, or other obvious errors in the he aring decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/hj

CC:

