STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

DEPARTMENT OF HOL	MAN OLIVICES	
IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201327907 3015 March 6, 2013 SSPC-Central-DHS
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E.	Harris	
HEARING DEC	CISION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administrated MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on March 6, 2013, behalf of Claimant included Human Services (Department) included Eligibility	st for a hearing. from Lansing, Michi Participants on beh	After due notice, a gan. Participants on alf of Department of
ISSUE		
Did the Department properly \boxtimes deny Claimant for:	t's application 🔲 cl	ose Claimant's case
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS OF	FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material		rial, and substantial
Claimant ⊠ applied for benefits ☐ received	benefits for:	
 ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☑ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). 		ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).

closed Claimant's case

2. On January 11, 2013, the Department ⊠ denied Claimant's application

due to excess income.

3.	On January 15, 2013, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the Claimant Closure.
4.	On January 23, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ⊠ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the idges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 rough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 rough Rule 400.3015.
Se Th Ag	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
for Se pro	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human ervices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 0.3180.
an 19 Th an	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 90, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. e program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 d 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 0.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

During the hearing, the Department conceded it had not accounted for arrearages in the Claimant's budget. The Department testified that the Claimant's budget has since been re-calculated accounting for the arrearages, and that the Claimant remained ineligible for FAP. That budget was not in evidence. Furthermore, the Claimant contested that the group's income was properly verified. Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 554 (2012) p. 4 provides that expenses are allowed in a FAP Budget and those expenses would include the amount of and arrearages paid by the household members to non-household members in the benefit month. As the Department concedes that the arrearages were not included in the budget in evidence, the evidence does not establish that the Department was acting in accordance with Departmental policy when taking action to deny the Claimant's application for FAP.		
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department		
 □ properly denied Claimant's application □ properly closed Claimant's case □ improperly closed Claimant's case 		
for:		
DECISION AND ORDER		
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly. did not act properly.		
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.		
☑ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:		
 Initiate action to redetermine the Claimant's eligibility for FAP back to the original application date using properly verified income all allowable expenses including child support arrearages, and Be able to produce documented verification of such income and expenses, and Initiate action to issue the Claimant any supplements that she may thereafter be due. 		
/s/		
Susanne E. Harris Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services		

Date Signed: March 18, 2013

Date Mailed: March 20, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SEH/tb



