

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 201327775
Issue No.: 2010
Case No.: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: June 27, 2013
County: Muskegon-00

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Aaron McClintic

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 27, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant's grandson and authorized representative [REDACTED]. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Kellen Facchini and Joyce Kerstein.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly determine that divestment occurred and impose a divestment penalty?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant entered a nursing home facility on January 8, 2013.
2. The Department previously determined on May 21, 2012 that divestment occurred when Claimant sold rental property on March 16, 2012.
3. Claimant sold her rental property for [REDACTED] on March 16, 2012 to an unrelated party.
4. The Department determined that divestment occurred because the state assessed value was [REDACTED] at the time of sale.
5. A divestment penalty period of 4 months and 15 days was imposed.

6. Claimant requested hearing on January 24, 2013 contesting the determination of divestment and imposition of divestment penalty period.
7. On March 20, 2012 the property was reassessed following the sale and given and assessed value and taxable value of [REDACTED] by the Board of Review. This decision noted that the reduction in value was due to “functionality of home”.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 through R 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 through R 400.3015.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 2000 ACS, R 400.3151 through R 400.3180.

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98

and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001 through R 400.5015.

LESS THAN FAIR MARKET VALUE

Less than fair market value means the compensation received in return for a resource was worth less than the fair market value of the resource.

That is, the amount received for the resource was less than what would have been received if the resource was offered in the open market and in an arm's length transaction (see glossary). BEM 405

Additionally, Claimant's Authorized Representative Troy Ruel credibly testified at hearing that Claimant's rental property was in very poor condition and was sold on short notice due to Claimant's immediate need for money. The property was not sold to a relative or friend of the Claimant's and was sold after contemplation of other lower offers. Claimant's Representative provided an assessment of the value of the property after the sale and the assessed value was \$10,800. This Administrative Law Judge finds that Claimant received fair market value for the sale of her rental property and divestment did not occur. BEM 405 Therefore the Departments determination of divestment and imposition of divestment penalty was improper and incorrect.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department

did act properly when they denied Claimant's application for Medicaid due to excess assets.

did not act properly when determined that divestment occurred and imposed a divestment penalty period.

Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED **REVERSED** for the reasons set forth in this decision.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Lift the divestment penalty going back to January 8, 2013.
2. Reinstate and reprocess MA benefits and activate MA coverage beginning in January 2013 if Claimant is otherwise eligible.



Aaron McClintic
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 07/02/2013

Date Mailed: 07/12/2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant,
 - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at
Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

AM/pe

cc:

