STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201327518 Issue No.: 3002, 6019 Case No.:

Hearing Date:

March 6, 2013

County: Kent

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Gary F. Heisler

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 6, 2013. Claimant appeared and testified through Saw ID number

ISSUE

Did the Department of Human Services properly deny Claimant's November 30, 2012 Child Development and Care (CDC) application due to excess income?

Did the Department of Human Services properly reduce Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits on February 1, 2013?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits.
- 2. On November 30, 2012, Claimant submitted an application for Child Development and Care (CDC) benefits because she began employment.
- 3. On January 12, 2013, the Department used Claimant's updated income to run financial eligibility budgets and determine her eligibility. The budgets showed that Claimant's current income required denial of the Child Development and Care (CDC) application and a reduction of her Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits. Claimant was sent notice of the determination.
- 4. On January 23, 2013, Claimant submitted a request for hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1997 AACS R 400.3001-3015.

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

During this hearing Claimant's group composition, income, and expenses were verified. The verified amounts were used to review the accuracy of the Department's Food Assistance Program (FAP) and Child Development and Care (CDC) financial eligibility budgets. The Department has correctly determined Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) and Child Development and Care (CDC) eligibility. A detailed analysis of the evidence presented, applicable Department policies, and reasoning for the decision are contained in the recorded record. During the hearing Claimant was informed of the decision and the reasoning behind the decision.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department of Human Services properly denied Claimant's November 30, 2012 Child Development and Care (CDC) application and properly reduced her Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits on February 1, 2013.

It is ORDERED that the actions of the Department of Human Services, in this matter, are **UPHELD**.

/s/

Gary F. Heisler Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 13, 2013

Date Mailed: March 13, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- · misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

GFH/tb

