STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-27440 3008 February 28, 2013 Kent County DHS	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G.	Fahie		
HEARING DE	<u>ECISION</u>		
This matter is before the undersigned Administ and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's requestelephone hearing was held on Thursday, Feb Participants on behalf of Claimant in clud representative and c hurch volunteer, Department of Human Services (Department claimant also had an interpreter,	st for a hearing. Afte oruary 28, 2013, fron led the claimant and I Particip	r due notice, a n Lansing, Michigan.	
ISSUE			
Due to a failure to comply with the ve rification properly deny Claimant's application clo benefits for:	ation requirements, one Claimant's case [
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)? y Relief (SER)?	
FINDINGS OF FACT			
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon evidence on the whole record, including testime	•		
 Cla imant ☐ applied for ☒ was receiving: SER. 	□FIP ☑FAP □MA	A □SDA □CDC □	
2. Cla imant ⊠ was ☐ was not provided with a	a Verification of Empl	oyment (DHS-38).	

3.	Claimant was required to submit requested verification by January 2, 2013.
4.	On January 14, 2013, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.
5.	On January 14, 2013, the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application. closure of Claimant's case. reduction of Claimant's benefits.
6.	On January 25, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial. ☐ closure. ☐ reduction.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges igibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence gency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 rough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program fective October 1, 1996.
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence gency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 rough Rule 400.3015.
Se Th	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial ecurity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for as	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) progr am which provides financial as sistance disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Depart ment (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to M CL 0.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

201327440/CGF

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adult and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.		
☐ The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is establ ished by 2 004 PA 344. The SER program is administer ed pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and by, 1999 AC, R 400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Department polic ies are found in the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).		
Additionally, the claimant failed to turn in her/his required verification of employment to verify eligibility by the due date, which resulted in her/his case being denied/closed. Subsequently, the claimant turned in the required verification by January 25, 2013 and her FAP benefits were restored.		
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department ☐ properly ☐ improperly		
☐ closed Claimant's case.☐ denied Claimant's application.☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.		
DECISION AND ORDER		
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \infty \text{did act properly.} \infty \text{did not act properly.}		
Accordingly, the Depar tment's decision is $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$		
Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services		
Date Signed: March 8, 2013		
Date Mailed: March 8, 2013		

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the receipt date of this Dec ision and Orde r. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/hj

