## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

## IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201327422 2000, 3008 March 6, 2013 Kent County DHS		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully				
HEARING DECISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 6, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included  Department of Human Services (Department) included  and				
<u>ISSUE</u>				
Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly $\boxtimes$ deny Claimant's application $\square$ close Claimant's case $\square$ reduce Claimant's benefits for:				
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	•	ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:				
Claimant ⊠ applied for □ was receiving: □I	FIP ⊠FAP □MA [	□SDA □CDC.		
2. Claimant ⊠ was ☐ was not provided with a Verification Checklist (DHS-3503).				
3. Claimant was required to submit requested verification by December 17, 2012.				

	On December 28, 2012, the Department  denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits or failure to submit verification in a timely manner.
	On December 28, 2012, the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application.  closure of Claimant's case. reduction of Claimant's benefits.
	On January 28, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☑ denial. ☐ closure. ☐ reduction.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	artment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges bility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Resp 42 U Ager throu	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal ponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, ISC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ncy) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 ugh Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ctive October 1, 1996.
prog imple Rege Ager	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) pram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is emented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal ulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ncy) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 ugh Rule 400.3015.
Secu The	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for d	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
and	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of D, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996.

The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, the Claimant argued that he has been denied Medical Assistance (MA). The Department presented evidence that establishes that the Claimant has been approved for Medical Assistance (MA). The Claimant failed to establish that the Department's actions have resulted in a denial or reduction of benefits. The Claimant has failed to present an issue that falls within the jurisdiction of this Administrative Law Judge to hear or decide.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclus stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge of properly ☐ improperly	
<ul><li>☐ closed Claimant's case.</li><li>☐ denied Claimant's application.</li><li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.</li></ul>	
DECISION AND ORI	<u>DER</u>
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, find	•
Accordingly, the Department's decision is AF reasons stated on the record.	FIRMED REVERSED for the
	<u>/s/</u>
	Kevin Scully Administrative Law Judge
	For Maura Corrigan, Director
Date Signed: March 8, 2013	Department of Human Services
Date digited. Material, 2013	

Date Mailed: March 11, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
- · misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## KS/cr

CC:

