STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-27290 3008 February 28, 2013 DHS-SSPC-West		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie				
HEARING DECI	SION			
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Thursday, February 28, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant inc luded the claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Essential Essential Control of Con				
ISSUE				
Due to a failure to comply with the ve rification requirements, did the Department properly \square deny Claimant's application \boxtimes close Claimant's case \boxtimes reduce Claimant's benefits for:				
Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?		ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)? y Relief (SER)?		
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the evidence on the whole record, including testimony		ial, and substantia I ls as material fact:		
1. Cla imant ☐ applied for ☒ was receiving: ☐ SER.	FIP SFAP MA	A □SDA □CDC □		
2. Cla imant ⊠ was ☐ was not provided with a Verification of Employment (DHS-38).				
3. Claimant was required to submit requested ve	rification by Janua	ry 14, 2013.		

201327290/CGF

4.	On January 18, 2013, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.
5.	On January 18, 2013, the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application. Closure of Claimant's case. reduction of Claimant's benefits.
6.	On January 31, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial. ☐ closure. ☐ reduction.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	partment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges gibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thre	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.300 1 ough Rule 400.3015.
Se Th	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for as	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) progr am which provides financial as sistance disabled persons is established by 20 04 PA 344. The Depar tment (formerly known the F amily Independence Agency) admini sters the SDA program pursuant to M CL 0.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
and	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 90, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996.

The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fe deral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adult sand children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.		
☐ The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is estable ished by 2 004 PA 344. The SER program is administer ed pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and by, 1999 AC, R 400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Department police ies are found in the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).		
Additionally, the claimant failed to turn in her/his required verification of foster care payments to verify eligibility by the due dat e, which resulted in her/his case being denied/closed. The claimant is entitled to re-apply for benefits.		
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department ☐ properly ☐ improperly		
☐ closed Claimant's case.☐ denied Claimant's application.☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.		
DECISION AND ORDER		
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly. \square did not act properly.		
Accordingly, the Depar tment's decision is $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$		
<u>/s/</u>		
Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge		
For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services		
Date Signed: March 8, 2013		
Date Mailed: March 8, 2013		

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the receipt date of this Dec ision and Orde r. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/hj

CC:

