STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County DHS:	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scull	у	
HEARING DI	ECISION	
telephone hearing was held on on behalf of Claimant included of Human Services (Department) included	st for a hearing. After due notice, a from Participant s Participants on behalf of Department .	
ISSU		
Due to excess income, did the Department properly \square deny the Claimant's applic ation \square close Claimant's case \boxtimes reduce Claimant's benefits for:		
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?	
FINDINGS OF FACT		
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:		
1. Cla imant ☐ applied for benefits for: ☒ red	ceived benefits for:	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)	Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). State Disability Assistance (SDA). Child Development and Care (CDC)	

2.	On, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits due to excess income.
3.	On, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial closure reduction.
4.	On, the Department received the Claimant's hearing request, protesting the:
	☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. ☐ reduction of benefits.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	partment policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independenc e ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 ough Rule 400.3015.
Se Th	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.

Additionally, the Department established that it properly determined the gross countable income for the Claimant's benefit group.



The Department established t hat it properly determined the group size based on the information it had on verification of household members participating in federally funded work study, but the Claimant failed to establish that verification of this information had been supplied to the Department before the Department determined eligibility for the Food Assistance Program (FAP).	
Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative La w Judge concludes t hat, due to excess income, the Department properly improperly	
☐ denied Claimant's application ☑ reduced Claimant's benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case	
for:	
DECISION AND ORDER	
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did not act properly.	
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.	
Kevin Scully Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services	

Date Signed: <u>03/14/2013</u>

Date Mailed: <u>03/14/2013</u>

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical e rror, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings
Recons ideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

KS/kl

