#### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:
Issue No.:
Case No.:
Hearing Date:
County:

2013-26525 3016; 2001

February 27, 2013 Kent County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen Fahie

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Wednesday , F ebruary 27, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on be half of Claim ant included the claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Hum an Services (Department) included FIM and FIM and , ES.

### <u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Departm ent properly 🖾 deny Claiman t's application 🔲 close Claimant's case for:

- Family Independence Program (FIP)?
- Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

- Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?
- State Disability Assistance (SDA)?
- Child Development and Care (CDC)?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Cla imant  $\boxtimes$  applied for benefits  $\square$  received benefits for:



Family Independence Program (FIP). Food Assistance Program (FAP). Medical Assistance (MA).

- Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).
  - State Disability Assistance (SDA).
- Child Development and Care (CDC).

2. On January 14, 2013, the Department

☑ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case due to ineligible student status per BEM 245 for FAP and for AMP that the program is closed to new applicants.

3. On January 14, 2013, the Department sent

🖂 Claimant	Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)
notice of the	🖾 denial. 🗌 closure.

4. On January 22, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the

 $\boxtimes$  denial of the application.  $\square$  closure of the case.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established purs uant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-3131. FI P replac ed the Aid to Depe ndent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

☐ The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [for merly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400. 10, *et seq*., and 1997 AACS R 400.3001-3015.

The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independ ence Agency) administers the MA pr ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and MC L 400.105.

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*.

The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Depart ment provides servic es to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

Additionally, effective April 1, 2011, clients in student stat us are no longer eligible to receive FAP benefits based solely on an approved education plan. BEM 245. A person is in student status if t he person is 18 through 49 y ears old and enrolled half-time or more in: (i) a vocational, trade, business, or technical school that normally requires a high school diploma or an equivalency certificate; or (ii) a regular curriculum at a college or university that offers degr ee programs regardless of whether a diploma is required. BEM 245. In order for an individual in student status to be eligible for FAP benefits, the individual must meet one of t he criteria listed in BEM 245. Here, Claim ant testified that at the time of application she did not work at least 20 hours per week.

In addition, the claimant is a student and does not work 20 hours a week as is required by policy. The claimant is ent itled to reapply for FAP benefits if she no longer a student or is working 20 hours a week as a stud ent. The AMP program is frozen and not accepting new app licants. The AMP program will be accept ing new app licants in April 2013 and the claimant is encouraged to reapply.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department

☑ properly denied Claimant's application
☑ properly closed Claimant's case
☑ improperly closed Claimant's case

for:  $\square$  AMP  $\square$  FIP  $\square$  FAP  $\square$  MA  $\square$  SDA  $\square$  CDC.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department  $\square$  did act properly.  $\square$  did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's  $\square$  AMP  $\square$  FIP  $\boxtimes$  FAP  $\square$  MA  $\square$  SDA  $\square$  CDC decision is  $\boxtimes$  AFFIRMED  $\square$  REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

<u>/s/</u>

Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 7, 2013

Date Mailed: March 7, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

- Re consideration/Rehearing Request
  - P.O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

#### CGF/hj

