STATE OF MICHIGAN

MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: Reg. No:

Case No: Hearing Date: May 1, 2013 Macomb County DHS #20

Issue No:

201325245

2009

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: William A. Sundquist

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant 's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Wednesday; May 1, 2013. Claimant appeared and provided t estimony on his behalf with Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

<u>ISSUE</u>

Was disability, as defined below, medically established?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant's MA-P applic ation on October 16, 2012 was denied on January 15, 2013 per BEM 260, wit h a hearing req uest on January 22, 2013.
- 2. Vocational factors: Age 10th grade education, and past 15 years of work experience including that of a semiskilled carpet installer.
- Claimant was last employed in March, 2010 due to a layoff with UCB benefits ending in November, 2011; t hat since then he has worked full time in his past employment as a carpet installer.
- 4. Claimant alleges disability due to arm, should er, and back problems and unable to read/write. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 27).
- 5. Claimant's disabling symptoms are pain in arm, shoulder, and back and limited to lifting/carrying 80 pounds.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Facts above are undisputed.

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substant ial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

...We follow a set order to determine whether y ou are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your residual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, education and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

When determining disability, the federal regulations are used as a guideline and require that several considerations be analyzed in sequentia I order. If dis ability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is <u>not</u> required. These steps are:

- 1. Does the client perf orm S ubstantial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).
- 2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.920(c).
- 3. Does the impairment appear on a spec ial listing of impairments or are the clie nt's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings spec ified for the listed im pairment? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).

201325245/WAS

- 4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the anal ysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).
- 5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform oth er work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpar t P, Appendix 2, Sec tions 200.00-204.00? If yes, the anal ysis ends and the c lient is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

The claimant had the burden of proof to establish disability in accordance with steps 1-4 above... 20CFR 416.912 (a). The burden of proof shifts to the DHS at Step 5... 20CFR 416.960 (c)(2).

[In reviewing your impairment]...We need reports about your impairments from acceptable m edical sources.... 20 CFR 416.913(a).

Acceptable medical verification sources are licensed physicians, osteopaths, or certified psychologists ...20CFR 416.913(a)

...The med ical evidence...mus t be complete and detailed enough to allow us to make a determination about whether you are disabled or blind. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

It must allow us to determine --

- (1) The nature and limiting effe cts of your impairment(s) for any period in question;
- (2) The probable duration of your impairment; and
- (3) Your residual functional capac ity to do w ork-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Step 1

...If you are working and the work you are doing is substantial gainful activity, we will find that you are not disabled regardless of your m edical condition or your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(b).

The evidence of record establishes that the Claimant has been engaging in past full-time work employment as a carpet installe r after his UCB expir ed in 2011, earning, on average, a week.

201325245/WAS

If you are working and performing substantia I gainful activity you are not disabled regardless of your medical condition, your age, education, or work experience. ... CFR 416.920(d)

Substantial gainful activity involves doing significant mental/physical activities. Work may be substantial even if it is done on a part-time basis or if you do less, get paid less, or have less responsibility that when you worked before. ... CFR 416.470(a)

The Claimant has not sustained his burden of proof to establish a sev ere physical impairment in combination, ins tead of a non-severe impairment, for the required duration.

Therefore, the sequential evaluation is required to stop at Step 1.

Therefore, medical disability has not been established at Step 1 by the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides disability was not medically established.

Accordingly, MA-P denial is **UPHELD** and so ORDERED.

/s/

William A. Sundquist Administrative Law Judge For Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 10, 2013

Date Mailed: May 13, 2013

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a re hearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

WAS/hj

201325245/WAS

