STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:					
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201325121 3008 February 26, 2013 Wayne (District #17)			
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E. Harris					
HEARING DECISION					
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 26, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Family Independence Manager (FIM).					
<u>ISSUE</u>					
Did the Department properly \square deny Claimant's application \boxtimes close Claimant's case for:					
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?				
FINDINGS OF FACT					
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material		rial, and substantial			
Claimant □ applied for benefits □ received benefits for:					
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA).	State Disability A	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).			

2.	On February 1, 2013, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case due to her failure to submit verification of her employment.			
3.	On January 2, 2013, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.			
4.	On January 14, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.			
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW				
	partment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).			
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.			
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal ogulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 ough Rule 400.3015.			
Se Th Ag	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.			
□ ad	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.			
for Se pro	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human rvices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 0.3180.			

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.			
In this case, the Claimant testified that she never did receive the DHS-3503 Verification Checklist in evidence. The Claimant does not contest that her has remained the same at all times relevant to the case. She testified that she turned in one check stub and a verification form. The Claimant testified that she received a DHS-38, Verification of Employment form from her Employer and that she submitted that to the Department via a in the local office at the beginning of October, either on October 1 or 2 of 2012. During the hearing, FIM Ford checked the log book for the drop box for October 1 and 2 of 2012 and reported that it reflected nothing from the Claimant being logged in. FIM Ford reports that she has been the Claimant's worker since the Claimant began her new job.			
The proper mailing and addressing of a letter creates a presumption of receipt. That presumption may be rebutted by evidence. <i>Stacey v Sankovich</i> , 19 Mich App 638 (1969); <i>Good v Detroit Automobile Inter-Insurance Exchange</i> , 67 Mich App 270 (1976). In this case, the evidence is found to be insufficient to rebut the presumption. The DHS-3503, Verification Checklist properly contains the Claimant's current address. It clearly states the proofs needed and when they are due. Furthermore, the Claimant testified that she submitted some of the proofs required from her employer and one pay check stub, yet nothing happened with her eligibility until after January 2, 2013. The Claimant's testimony in this regard is found to be less than credible, as if those proofs were received, the Claimant's FAP allotment would have likely decreased significantly based upon her increased income.			
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department			
 □ properly denied Claimant's application □ improperly denied Claimant's application □ improperly closed Claimant's case 			
for:			
DECISION AND ORDER			
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \boxtimes did act properly. \square did not act properly.			

Accordingly, the Department's ☐ AMP ☐ FIP ☒ FAP ☐ MA ☐ SDA ☐ CDC decision is ☒ AFFIRMED ☐ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.	n
☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS O THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:	F

Susanne E. Harris
Administrative Law Judge
or Maura Corrigan, Director

For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Susanne E Hanis

Date Signed: 3/22/13 Date Mailed: 3/22/13

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- · misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SEH/db



