STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

ı	N	1 7	Γŀ	4F	N	14	T	ΓΕ	R	O	F	•
	11			-				_		•		

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-25111 2026;2014; 3015 February 26, 2013 Macomb County DHS #20				
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fal	nie					
HEARING DECIS	SION					
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a elephone hearing was held on Tuesday, February 26, 2013 fr om Lansing, Michig an. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the claimant and his friend and authorized epresentative, Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included FS.						
ISSUE						
Due to excess income, did the Department proper \boxtimes close Claimant's case \boxtimes reduce Claimant's be		aimant's applic ation				
Food Assistance Program (FAP)?	•	sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?				
FINDINGS OF FA	<u>ACT</u>					
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he cevidence on the whole record, finds as material fac	• •	al, and substantial				
1. Cla imant ☐ applied for benefits for: ☒ red	ceived benefits fo	r:				
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	•	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).				

2.	On January 16, 2013, the Department							
3.	On January 16, 2013, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure. reduction.							
4.	On January 23, 2013, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting							
	the \square denial of the application. \boxtimes closure of the case. \boxtimes reduction of benefits.							
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW								
	epartment policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the idges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).							
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .							
Ag 31	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence gency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-31. FI P replace detection to Depe ndent Children (ADC) program effective ctober 1, 1996.							
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence gency) administers FAP pur suant to MCL 400. 10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 10.3001-3015.							
Se	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial ecurity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.							
for as	The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to M CL 0.10, et seq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.							
an 19	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establishhed by Titles IVA, IVE of XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 190, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, are program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fe deral Regulations Parts 98.							

and 99. The Department provides servicies to adult sand children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.					
Additionally, the claimant was a recepient of Social Security (SS) RSDI be nefits in the amount of \$ which was an increase from the previous year of \$ Department 1-3.					
As a result of exces s income, the claim ant had a decrease in F AP benefits. After deductions from his gross income of \$ standard deduction for an adjusted gross income of \$ standard deduction for an adjusted gross income of \$ standard deduction					
The department caseworker determined eligib ility for MA where the claimant had excess income for MA AD-Care and MA-MSP, where the income limit was \$931, but the claimant had a net income of \$ which resulted in his being denied for MA AD-Care and MSP. Department Exhibit 4-5.					
As a result of his exc ess income for MA AD -Care, the claimant was determined eligible for a MA Spenddown/Deductible case. The claimant had RSDI income from Social Security of After deductions of a unearned income general exclusion and a protected income of the claimant had a deductible of that he must meet before being eligible for MA. Department Exhibit 4-5.					
The department has met its burden that the clai mant is eligible for MA with a deductible of \$ that he must meet bef ore being eligible for MA. The department has met its burden that the claimant had excess income re sulting in case closure for MA AD-Care and MSP with a reduction in FAP benefits					
Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative La w Judge concludes t hat, due to excess income, the Department properly improperly					
☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case					
for: AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC.					
DECISION AND ORDER					
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department					

Accordingly, the Department's 🔲 AMP 🔲 FIP 🔯 FAP 🔯 MA 🔲 SDA 🔲 CDC decisio	on
is 🛮 AFFIRMED 🗌 REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.	

/s/

Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 7, 2013

Date Mailed: March 7, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
- · misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that
 effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/hj

