#### MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No:2013-24855Issue No:1038Case No:Hearing Date:Hearing Date:February 21, 2013Kent County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge by authority of MC L 400.9 and MCL 400.37. Claimant's Request for a Hearing was received on January 14, 2013. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Thursday, February 21, 2013. The claimant personally appeared and testified on his own behalf. The department was represented by CM Ross PATH, FIM, and FIM, and FIS.

## ISSUE

Whether the Department of H uman Servic es (Department) properly san ctioned the Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) case for noncompliance wit h the Jobs, Education, and Training (JET) program?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant was a recipient of FIP benefits, which required him to participate in the JET program. Department Exhibit 2.
- On December 26, 2012, the claimant was being triaged becaus e he failed to provide job searches as instructed fr om November 13, 2012 thro ugh December 20, 2012. Department Exhibit 3.
- On January 2, 2013, the claimant was sent a Noti ce on Noncompliance (DHS-2444) requesting a triage meet ing on January 9, 2013. Department Exhibit 12-13.
- 4. The Department conducted a tri age meeting January 2, 2013 where the determination was made that the clai mant did not have good cause for not complying with the JET requirements. Department Exhibit 3.

- 5. On January 4, 2013, the Department notified the Claimant that it would close the claimant's FIP benefits as of February 1, 2013. Department Exhibit 15-16.
- 6. The Department received the Claimant's request for a hearing on January 14, 2013, protesting the closing of the claimant's FIP benefits.

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, P ublic Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, et seq. The Department of Human Services (DHS or Department) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Ai d to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. De partment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Elig ibility Manual (BEM), Refe rence Table Manual (RF T), and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Department policy states that clients must be made aware that public as sistance is limited to 48 months to meet their family's needs and that they must take personal responsibility to achieve self-sufficiency. This message, along with information on ways to achieve independence, direct support services, non-compliance penalties, and good cause reasons, is initially shared by DHS when the client applies for cash assistance. Jobs, Education and Training (JET) program requirements, education and training opportunities, and as sessments will be covered by the JET case manager when a mandatory JET participant is referred at application. BEM 229.

Federal and State laws require each work eligible in dividual (WEI) in the FIP and RAP group to participate in the Jobs, Educati on and T raining (JET) Program or other employment-related activities unless temporar ily deferred or engaged in activities that meet participation requirements. These c lients must participate in employm ent and/or self-sufficiency-related activities to incr ease their employabilit y and obtain stab le employment. JET is a program administer ed by the Michigan D epartment of Labor and Economic Growth (D LEG) through the Mi chigan Works Agencies (MWAs). The JET program serves employers and job seekers for employers to have skille d workers and job seekers to obtain jobs that provide economic self-sufficiency. A WEI who refuses, without good cause, to participate in as signed employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities is subject to penalties. BEM 230A.

Noncompliance of applic ants, recipients, or member adds means doing any of the following without good cause:

- Failing or refusing to:
  - Appear and participate with the Jobs, Education and Training (JET) Program or other employment service provider.

### 201324855/CGF

- Complete a Family Automated Screening Tool (FAST), as assigned as the first step in the FSSP process.
- Develop a Family Se If-Sufficiency Plan (F SSP) or a Personal Respons ibility Plan and Family Contract (PRPFC).
- Comply with activities assigned to on the Family Self-Sufficiency Plan (FSSP).
- Provide legitimate documentation of work participation.
- Appear for a scheduled appointment or meeting related to assigned activities.
- Participate in employment and/or self-sufficiencyrelated activities.
- Accept a job referral.
- Complete a job application.
- Appear for a job interview (see the exception below).
- Stating orally or in writing a definite intent not to comply with program requirements.
- Threatening, physically abusing or otherwise behav ing disruptively toward anyone conducting or participating in an employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activity.
- Refusing employment support services if the refusal prevents participation in an employment and/or s elfsufficiency-related activity. BEM 233A.

The Department is required to send a DHS -2444, Notice of Employment and/or Self Sufficiency Related Noncompliance within noncompliance which must in clude the date of noncompliance e, the reason the client was determined to be noncompliant, the penalty that will be imposed and the triage date within the negative action period. BEM 233A.

Good cause is a valid reason for nonc ompliance wit h employ ment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant per son. A claim of good c ause must be verified and doc umented for member adds and recipients. If it is determined at triage that the client has good cause, and good cause issues have been resolved, the client should be sent back to JET. BEM 233A.

Good cause should be determined based on the best information available during the triage and prior to the negative action date. Good cause may be verified by information already on file with DHS or MWA. Good c ause must be considered even if the client does not attend, with particular attention to that have not been diagnosed or ident ified by the client) and unmet needs for accommodation. BEM 233A.

The penalty for noncompliance without good caus e is FIP closure. Effective October 1, 2011, the following minimum penalties apply:

### NONCOMPLIANCE PENALTIES FOR ACTIVE FIP INDIVIDUALS AND MEMBER ADDS

The penalty for noncompliance without good cause is FIP EDG closure. Effective October 1, 2011, the following minimum penalties apply:

- For the individual's first occurrence of noncompliance, Bridges closes the FIP EDG for not less than three calendar months.
- For the individual's second occurrence of noncompliance, Bridges closes the FIP EDG for not less than six calendar months.
- For the individual's third occurrence of noncompliance, Bridges closes the FIP EDG for a lifetime sanction. BEM, Item 233A.

The individual penalty counter begins April 1, 2007. Individual penalties served after October 1, 2011 will be added to the individual's existing penalty count.

Noncompliance, without good cause, with employment r equirements for FIP/RAP(SEE BEM 233A) may affect FAP if both progr ams were active on the date of the FIP noncompliance. BEM 233b. The FAP group member should be disqualified for noncompliance when all the following exist:

- The client was active bot h FIP and FAP on the date of the FIP noncompliance, and
- The client did not comply wit h FIP/RAP employment requirements, and
- The client is s ubject to a penalty on the FIP/RA P program, and
- The client is not deferred from FAP work requirements, and
- The c lient did not have good c ause for the noncompliance. BEM 233B.

#### 201324855/CGF

The Department should budget the Last FIP grant amount on the FAP budget for the number of months that corresponds with the FIP penalty (either three months for the 1 <sup>st</sup> noncompliance, 6 months for 2 <sup>nd</sup> nonc ompliance, and a lifetime for the third noncompliance) after the FIP case closes for employment and/or self sufficiency-related noncompliance. The Last FIP gr ant amount is the grant amo unt the client received immediately before the FIP case closed.

The Claimant was an ongoing Family Independenc e Program (FIP) recipient. The Department had referred the claimant to the JET program as a condition of receiving FIP benefits. The Claimant was noncompliant with the JET program on December 26, 2012 because he failed to c omplete his 8 hours of job search. The Department conducted a triage meeting on January 9, 2013 and it was determined that the claimant did not have good cause for noncompliance with the JET program.

Based on t he evidence and testimony available during the hearing, the Department's determination that the Claimant did not have good c ause for J ET noncompliance with the JET program is reasonable. The Department has established that it acted properly when it closed the Claimant 's FIP benefits for noncompli ance with the JET program. This is the claimant's 1<sup>st</sup> sanction where his FIP benefits will be cancelled for 3 months, but the claimant can reapply during the last month of his sanction.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, decides that the D epartment acted in accordance with policy when it sanctioned the Claimant's FIP case for noncompliance with the JET program. This is the claimant's 1<sup>st</sup> sanction where his FIP bene fits will be cancelled for 3 months, but the claimant ca n reapply during the last month of his sanction.

The Department's FIP sanction is **AFFIRMED**.

/s/

Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 5, 2013

Date Mailed: March 5, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases).

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail to:

Re Michigan Administrative hearings consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

### CGF/hj

